Submissions received on Christchurch Yacht Club fencing proposal, September 2023

#	Name	Submission
1	Ashley Rule	Hi Felix Thankyou for your time this morning. As I mentioned I just wondered how effective the ugly fence would be stopping anti social behaviour and the negative visual effect for all, when this area is currently being enhanced by the CCC and Coastal Pathway Group. (Closing the carpark main gate, as is happening in the evenings, is essential and this has stopped cars congregating, loud music, burnouts and fights - the residents appreciate this being locked at night thank you). If you would like me to distribute this to the Residents Assn please send me the link. Thank you Felix
2	Dean Ross	Hi Felix Nice to chat this morning . Totally understand the security of the yacht club . But I am opposed to a grey fence put around the club . If it was painted white and not look like a eyesaw that would help . I believe it would only be a temporary measure ? As the kids would jump the fence and still get to the pond tunes. I think there should a vote from all neighbours to what happens . I personally think the yacht club has had its day and needs to be rebuilt. I'm sure if engineers inspected it it would condemned and not safe !! But that another story for another day . An amazing spot and something special to be built with the City Councils help . We spend millions on the walkway ? And can't do something there that has been iconic in the bay ? Please it would be great to have a neighbour meeting and a vote .
3	Andrew Davidson and Marion Archer	Good Evening Felix Thank you for your notice to residents regarding a request to replace existing rope bollards with new fencing We live at [redacted] and have lived there for nearly 20 years. Our strong view is that the fence should not be erected. This area is zoned open seascape and should not be fenced off. The area attracts a lot of casual users, people young and old, fishermen, swimmers, Watersport users and recreational users who just enjoy the space. Whilst we appreciate that the Yacht Club have the use of the area for club activities it is not for their sole use and outside of these activities the area should be open for the public to enjoy and not fenced off , with only a small pedestrian gate, at the detriment of recreational users. Over the years whist we have lived here we have seen very little trouble or anti social behaviour and this has improved immensely since the council have locked the gate at nights. The Council and The Coastal Pathway Committee have undertaken a lot of planning and expense to ensure a aesthetically pleasing pathway using open design and space encouraging use and participation for all to enjoy, erecting this fence will detract from the design and have a somewhat prison like structure. We hereby strongly register our objection to the proposal.
4	Tony Page	Dear Felix Thank you for outlining the plans to fence off a portion of the Moncks Bay foreshore at the yacht club. The club has identified an issue with non member behaviour at the times of the week when there are club activities. This is largely weekend afternoons in summer. Teenagers arrive to swim when sailing activity is underway. For the majority of the week the non members enjoy access to the leased area. I object to the erection of a high metal fence as it is: Unsightly, not consistent with the relaxed aesthetic of the area. A physical solution to a behavioural problem or nuisance. Denies non members access to amenities for the whole week. I suggest the following:

Submissions received on Christchurch Yacht Club fencing proposal, September 2023

		The yacht club appoint a member to manage the public/non members during club events. Erect a gate to block off the pontoon during club events. Spend money budgeted for fencing on completing the rowing shed project.
5	Abby Moore	Hi Felix I would like to lodge my strong opposition for the proposed erection of a 1.6 metre fence around the CYC reserve. I have a number of reasons which I have listed below on not particular order of importance: This is a public access space and the fence will prevent locals and visitors from easily accessing the location. We live [redacted]. It will negatively affect our view of the Estuary. We have been waiting over a year for the fences from road works to come down. Hearing this could be permanent is beyond disappointing. We use this as an access point to swim nearly every second day throughout summer, I would have to take my children to significantly riskier areas along the Estuary to swim from. We jetty jump from this location, my kids think "it would ruin their fun!" We paddle board from this location. We teach the kids about currents and ocean awareness from this location. Restricting access, OR appearing to segregate the space also prevents others from sharing the same experiences. This is already an issue in our community with the old Scouts club jetty (Gilchrist rebuild) that has been roped off and made to appear private. A consented jetty in a public space should be accessible to all wishing to use it in a safe and responsible manner. Leased public land is still public land. I personally phoned the police during one of the antisocial incidents last summer. The people involved from both the younger public and CYC behaved poorly. Education on shared spaces and respect would go a lot further than a fence. I was disgusted by both parties involved and removed my children from the site. Having fences would of made this harder and more risky to exit from a single point. Putting up a fence doesn't solve anti social behaviour, it just pushes it somewhere else. The proposed fence is ugly and not in keeping with the other working happening along the coastal pathway. I believe this proposal impacts on the principal of Te Tiriti o Waitangi along with the Marine and Coastal Areas act. I'd like to understand what work has been done by CCC and the CYC to consider these obligations? I look forward to your response.
6	Neil and Andrea Wylie	Hello We wish to notify you of our concerns regarding the fencing proposal for the Christchurch Yacht club. We live in [redacted] and love being able to access the area surrounding the yacht club. Our children swim off the jetty and it is a lovely place to sit quietly and look at the view. Also the proposed fencing is not in keeping with the fencing around this area.
7	Carol Tharme	Dear sir I am strongly against the proposed fencing at the yacht club at Moncks Bay. I feel it will be an eyesore on a beautiful coastline plus I do not think any access should be restricted to the general public it is there for everyone to enjoy
8	Mike Farrall	I am owner of [redacted]. I have recently been informed about the fencing proposal above. I am very disappointed that the proposal is even being given air. Generations gave had free access & this is public property for the use of everyone. The fencing style proposed is also very cheap & nasty looking & VERY out of character for our area. Again we should definately NOT fence or restrict access for the exclusive benefit of one group at the expense of free access to everyone. I understand the club may be frustrated at some inconvenience when running the occasional event, however something along the lines of signage & a rope barrier installed the night before an event would be all that should he needed & considered.
9	Kathy Page	Dear Felix Thank you for the invitation to respond to the proposal by the Yacht Club. I am opposed to the proposed new fence and concerned at the lack of engagement with the Moncks Bay residents by the Club, before coming up with these proposals. There has been no consultation that I am aware of. You mention difficulties the Club has while undertaking club sailing activities, as being the sole reason for placing a permanent 1.6 m fence to facilitate such activities. You say this is to stop anti-social behaviour. I would suggest that it will stop access to the area by the locals who use it 356 days a year, and that episodic anti-social behaviour is best dealt with by paid security or the police. The fence will permanently exclude the local families in our Moncks Bay Lane, from being able to walk across the road and safely negotiate around the yacht club away from traffic to swim off the jetty or from the beach opposite our Lane on any day of the year. Access to this beach has already been almost severed with the new walkway. I have no problem with the Club seeking to limit access in a temporary fashion, from the pontoon, whilst their activities are under way. Further the proposed fence is not in keeping with the picturesque nature of Moncks Bay and does nothing to enhance the area.

Submissions received on Christchurch Yacht Club fencing proposal, September 2023

		I look forward to hearing from you in due course.
10	Dr Andrew Whiteside	Hi Felix As a property that looks directly on the CYC yacht club and a long-standing member previous commodore and presently one of the presidents of the club. The proposed fencing would look like a prison yard! I have been to most yacht clubs in NZ and many overseas and I have never seen any yacht club with fencing. This looks like exclusivity and a barrier to the general public from a council owned but peppercorn leased land. This would restrict anyone with disabilities to access the site. The anti-social behaviour - I not seen anything other than people of Christchurch using the area to swim and enjoy it. I believe this is a open seascape area on the district plans so fencing would be contrary to this description. So, as you can gather, I STRONGLY APPOSE any fencing. I am interested if you are in charge of the leasing arrangement for CYC as I wish to have a discussion about the club breaking their lease agreement by allowing commercial activity to occur at the club which breaks the current lease agreement. if you are not, can you put me in touch with the person responsible. Thank you. I look forward to your response
11	Hanno Sander - Chair - Coastal Pathway Group	Hi Felix, This is CCPG's submission regarding the proposed fence: "The Coastal Pathway Group's mission is to promote and facilitate the use of the pathway for the benefit of the people and city of Christchurch. We view the area around the Yacht Club as an especially beautiful spot along the pathway that provides enjoyment to a large number of visitors. The Yacht Club is in the middle of the soon to be completed Monck's Bay section. The Group views the erection of a fence as a detriment to the projected million+ annual users of the pathway."
12	Pete Franklin	Hi Felix, I strongly object to any fencing or obstruction around the Redcliffs Yacht Club preventing public access. This maybe leased from the Council but in this instance the area being discussed has been part of the community for many decades possibly over 100 years . The new Jetty area especially is part of our community and I believe funding was assisted by the community . The Yacht club risks losing support from the community for any future projects.
13	Kevin Murdoch	Response to Redcliffs Residents Assn email 24/9/23 email notify the Redcliffs residents of the Christchurch Yacht Club request to erect a 1.6m fence. As the Council is asking for feed back, below is my submission. In summary the proposed fence will be an ugly eyesore out of character with he surrounding area. I strongly object to the proposed new fencing as described in the attached. The Estuary is zoned as an Open Coastal Space and the building of the proposed fence is inconsistent with the Christchurch District plan and intention to: • **Protect and enhance important natural habitats**, wilderness areas and **outstanding landscapes** • **Enable equitable access** to required facilities, activities, goods and services. • **Foster and promote amenity values which contribute** to the City's p**leasantness and aesthetic coherence** • Enhance protection of conservation values, **recreational opportunities compatible with this protection and public access** to and along the margins of the City's rivers and the coast where appropriate. Specifically the proposed fence is inconsistent with the District plan's objectives and policies related to this area as as outlined below: 2.6 Objective : Coastal environment • The Avon-Heathcote Estuary, Brooklands Lagoon/Waimakariri River mouth and Scarborough Cliffs/Godley Head all contain important elements that constitute natural character for the City's coastline 2.6.1 Policy : Natural and amenity values • The New Zealand Coastal Policy Statement requires that **priority should be given to avoiding any adverse effects** of subdivision, use or development in the coastal environment. It is only where avoidance is not practicable that the adverse effects should be mitigated or remedied 2.2.9 Policy: Building on waterway margins • By ensuring that the margins of **waterways are managed in a manner which retains amenity, ecological and natural values,** both adjacent to and within the waterway. 2.2.10 Policy : Esplanade reserves

		• Sections 6 and 229 of the Act give **strong emphasis to protection of these areas as well as provision for public access** to and along the margins of rivers and the coast 2.4 Objective : Natural features and habitats (including the Avon-Heathcote Estuary and its margins) • **The protection and enhancement of** key elements and processes comprising **the City's natural environment.** 2.4.4 Policy : Ecosystems and habitats • With coastal margins, it is intended to ensure that the City's coastal environment is maintained in a predominantly natural state, in recognition of the fact that much of the open coastline and a considerable portion of the estuary and lagoon margins, are in public ownership and management. Accordingly, recreational activities and the way they are managed will take account of natural values in these areas; again with implications for structures, ground disturbance and vegetation removal 2.6.2 Policy : Coastal activities • To **encourage those activities that do not compromise the open character of** the dune coastline and the striking visual significance of the coastal cliffs and **the Avon-Heathcote Estuary.** • The purpose of this policy is to support those policies related to the management of potential adverse effects on the coastal environment by **emphasising the asset that the coastline creates for the City, and the need to avoid activities which could detract from continued public enjoyment of this asset. Recreation needs to be compatible with maintaining and enhancing the natural values of the coastline.** 2.6.4 Policy : Use of the margins • To **ensure activities that may seek to establish on the margins of the Avon-Heathcote Estuary and Brooklands Lagoon are compatible with maintaining the natural character and ecological values of these areas**, including wildlife and habitat values • This policy has been incorporated to emphasise that **development be managed in such a way that its location and scale remains appropriate in terms of their natural values.** • **Structures may impede access around the margins of the estuary or the lagoon, cause a disturbance of land through filling and excavation, or have a high visual impact** Environmental results anticipated • Provision for recreational activities compatible with the natural values of the coastal environment. • Enhanced quality of the coastal environment as a wildlife area. • Improved and extended protection to estuary and lagoon margins, and their value as wildlife habitats. • Enhanced recreational experience and public access to and along the coastline. 4.1.7 Policy : Estuaries, waterways and wetlands • **The protection of the natural values of water bodies and habitats is of prime importance** and means these areas • **The Council has a responsibility to control effects of activities** on the surface of the City's rivers and also on their surrounding environments • Recognition has been given to **the need for buffer areas from adverse environmental effects** of both land and water based activities. Environmental results anticipated • Maintaining and enhancing the unique natural and physical features and characteristics that contribute to the distinctive form of the City • Conservation and enhancement of the City's estuary, lagoon, waterways and wetlands as significant habitats, areas of natural value and visual features of the landscape.
14	Rosie Curtis	Hi Felix, We live at [redacted]. I am emailing regarding the 1.6m high fence they're planning to erect which I believe is due to them wanting to keep the public out. Having young kids and loving this area we use it frequently and enjoy the open seascape of Moncks Bay. Even more so now the costal pathway is close to finishing. We feel a fence of a height that is specified will only succeed in blocking these beautiful views across the estuary. The jetty is used year-round for kids from all over the community who have much enjoyment from this public facility. A fence of such height will be a potential danger as kids will climb this to get in anyway.

		If a fence needs to be installed around the club then we feel the beautiful wrought iron fence which is lining the coastal pathway would be very fitting to surround the appropriate area. We don't agree with the current plans which will cause segregation and be an eyesore for those looking at it every day. Many thanks for your time
15	Eric & Helen Smart	Hello Felix We have looked at the plan for the new fence and are extremely disappointed that from the photos appearance the fence looks ugly. If it is necessary to have a fence we would have thought something more in line with the coastal surroundings would be better than what is intended. To be honest the entire building is an eyesore and is in real need of some upkeep and painting. With the coastal pathway to open in the near future it would have been nice to have had the Club spruced up. If the intention is to stop young people jumping from the jetty we Think they will just swim across the gap. Our property looks down at the Club.
16	Eileen Whiteside	Kia ora Felix - I am responding to the invitation from the Christchurch City Council to comment on the Proposed New Fencing at Christchurch Yacht Club. I strongly object to the proposed new fencing as described in the attached. The Estuary is zoned as an Open Coastal Space and the building of the proposed fence is inconsistent with the Christchurch District plan and intention to: • **Protect and enhance important natural habitats**, wilderness areas and **outstanding landscapes** • **Enable equitable access** to required facilities, activities, goods and services. • **Foster and promote amenity values which contribute** to the City's p**leasantness and aesthetic coherence** • Enhance protection of conservation values, **recreational opportunities compatible with this protection and public access** to and along the margins of the City's rivers and the coast where appropriate. Specifically the proposed fence is inconsistent with the District plan's objectives and policies related to this area as as outlined below: <u>2.6 Objective : Coastal environment</u> • The Avon-Heathcote Estuary, Brooklands Lagoon/Waimakariri River mouth and Scarborough Cliffs/Godley Head all contain important elements that constitute natural character for the City's coastline <u>2.6.1 Policy : Natural and amenity values</u> • The New Zealand Coastal Policy Statement requires that **priority should be given to avoiding any adverse effects** of subdivision, use or development in the coastal environment. It is only where avoidance is not practicable that the adverse effects should be mitigated or remedied <u>2.2.9 Policy: Building on waterway margins</u> • By ensuring that the margins of **waterways are managed in a manner which retains amenity, ecological and natural values,** both adjacent to and within the waterway. <u>2.2.10 Policy : Esplanade reserves</u> • Sections 6 and 229 of the Act give **strong emphasis to protection of these areas as well as provision for public access** to and along the margins of rivers and the coast <u>2.4 Objective : Natural features and habitats (including the Avon-Heathcote Estuary and its margins)</u> • **The protection and enhancement of** key elements and processes comprising **the City's natural environment.** <u>2.4.4 Policy : Ecosystems and habitats</u>

		• With coastal margins, it is intended to ensure that the City's coastal environment is maintained in a predominantly natural state, in recognition of the fact that much of the open coastline and a considerable portion of the estuary and lagoon margins, are in public ownership and management. Accordingly, recreational activities and the way they are managed will take account of natural values in these areas; again with implications for structures, ground disturbance and vegetation removal 2.6.2 Policy : Coastal activities • To **encourage those activities that do not compromise the open character of** the dune coastline and the striking visual significance of the coastal cliffs and **the Avon-Heathcote Estuary.** • The purpose of this policy is to support those policies related to the management of potential adverse effects on the coastal environment by **emphasising the asset that the coastline creates for the City, and the need to avoid activities which could detract from continued public enjoyment of this asset. Recreation needs to be compatible with maintaining and enhancing the natural values of the coastline.** <u>2.6.4 Policy : Use of the margins</u> • To **ensure activities that may seek to establish on the margins of the Avon-Heathcote Estuary and Brooklands Lagoon are compatible with maintaining the natural character and ecological values of these areas**, including wildlife and habitat values • This policy has been incorporated to emphasise that **development be managed in such a way that its location and scale remains appropriate in terms of their natural values.** • **Structures may impede access around the margins of the estuary or the lagoon, cause a disturbance of land through filling and excavation, or have a high visual impact** Environmental results anticipated • Provision for recreational activities compatible with the natural values of the coastal environment. • Enhanced quality of the coastal environment as a wildlife area. • Improved and extended protection to estuary and lagoon margins, and their value as wildlife habitats. • Enhanced recreational experience and public access to and along the coastline. <u>4.1.7 Policy : Estuaries, waterways and wetlands</u> • **The protection of the natural values of water bodies and habitats is of prime importance** and means these areas • **The Council has a responsibility to control effects of activities** on the surface of the City's rivers and also on their surrounding environments • Recognition has been given to **the need for buffer areas from adverse environmental effects** of both land and water based activities. Environmental results anticipated • Maintaining and enhancing the unique natural and physical features and characteristics that contribute to the distinctive form of the City • Conservation and enhancement of the City's estuary, lagoon, waterways and wetlands as significant habitats, areas of natural value and visual features of the landscape.
17	Michelle Laing	

Submissions received on Christchurch Yacht Club fencing proposal, September 2023

		 Dear Felix, thank you for asking for comments about the proposed new fencing at Christchurch Yacht Club, I note that at before settlement this whole bay had no impediment to animals, birdlife and humans enjoying the beach, foreshore and bay water. As humans tend to do, there have been considerable changes, large areas of concrete laid, the natural foreshore controlled with pathways and other structures. Despite all the best endeavours of the different parties, the recent developments have further encroached human structures on the environment. There is ongoing limitation of biodiversity. This proposed fencing, means that for all of summer, and likely all school holiday periods, the Yacht Club will close access to the public. To do so they wish to erect a very ugly fence and police the area. My impression is that the CYC fencing themselves into this area will create a greater sense of dislocation in the vicinity. It must be recognised that already with the number of boats and people in the carpark for the CYC events and regular sailing days, the public are limited in access to the beach either side. In summer this beach is one of the few places accessible by pedestrians to the waters of Moncks Bay. A fence will create a greater sense of entitlement by the yacht club users to this whole area, and severely limit equitable use of the whole bay. I feel that the CYC in proposing this and trying to meet their own schedules for sailing, and increase yachting use, have failed to consider the needs of everyone else who lives in Redcliffs, Moncks Bay, Moncks Spur and Mt Pleasant. I understand the CYC has a history in this location, however the club continues to expand its footprint - I expect it would be really lovely for the area if they joined forces with Mt Pleasant Yacht Club and moved to Scott's Park, then the majority of people in the area could enjoy Moncks Bay unimpeded. If that doesnt sit well with them, perhaps getting a reasonably qualified landscape architect to devise a site plan that didnt have industrial elements and did allow beach access unimpeded would be a better outcome.
18	Max Peacock	I walk through the carpark and jetty every day and enjoy watching others enjoying the area. A fence would detract immensely. If there is a realistic reason for a barrier at least it could have a nautical theme! But I certainly can't see a reason for it. I would greatly like to be involved in any discussion on the matter. I live [redacted]
19	Karen Silvers	Dear Felixstowe I gather that you are gathering feedback about the proposal to fence around Christchurch Yacht Club. I would like to know where this fencing would be placed, why it has been requested and who pays for this? In principle, I would not want to see the club being fenced as it would detract from the water front and limit access by the public but I am willing to understand more about the request before making that my last word.
20	The Dixons	Hi there We have received your notice in our mail box about the yacht club reserve proposed new fencing. Thank you for giving us the opportunity to comment about this. We are against the new proposed fencing and believe it should stay as is with the rope bollards. The current rope bollards suit the area, especially with the beautiful new coastal pathway. There has been a lot of work in planning with the coastal pathway and the proposed fencing area being so large will enclose the area looking quite industrial and cold. It does not at all suit the coastal theme and seems to be just a "cheap" alternative for the club. If one of the main purposes of the fencing is to deter anti social behaviour, we do not think that it will be an effective control as it can be climbed over.

Submissions received on Christchurch Yacht Club fencing proposal, September 2023

		Most people are respectful when they see the area being used for events. The club area is important to the local community. This proposed fencing would make the area feel forbidden. Thank you
21	Secretary - Dr Pat McIntosh Redcliffs Residents Association	Kia ora Felix Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this request. We understand that public actions in this area can be a problem for the activities of the CYC and sympathise with their need to have more control when they are undertaking club events. However we do not approve of the proposal for permanent fencing around the Club even with a gate, as we feel this is a very important place for its scenic and natural qualities, which will be impaired by a tall fence right next to the open area and the Coastal Pathway. We have had feedback from neighbours who will be always looking out at the fence that they do not like the prospect. We would not have an objection to replacing the current temporary fencing with a more attractive permanent fence on the west side of the clubhouse where the boats are stored. However on the east and south sides we would prefer that the club keep the current low rope, and if necessary deploy temporary fencing during the periods when they are actually using the area for club activities. Better signage might also help with public understanding of what is acceptable and not acceptable use of the area.
22	Pam Jeffrey	In response to the Christchurch Yacht Club fence proposal may I say that while I understand there may be times when it would be desirable to exclude the public, such as racing and other club events it would seem a great shame to restrict access at all .Signage could be provided stating simply that there is no access to the pier because of an event between particular hours on that day. It is understood that the land is owned by the Christchurch City Council so could there be some agreement made which provides for public access when club activities are not taking place so that there is always access to the water for recreational use? We regularly use the Moncks Bay area to swim and enjoy and appreciate the strong community feel there. The Moncks Bay " beach" is a very small space and often overcrowded on hot summer days as it is. Perhaps consideration should have be given to providing other access to the estuary such as that in McCormacks Bay, not for gathering kaimoana, but for kayakers and recreational swimmers We also enjoy seeing young people accessing the pier where they can jump and dive safely. There are very few areas where that is possible and it is a reminder of our own teenage years when such freedoms were a given.We have lost so many of those rites of passage, the challenge of our peers to" make the jump" being one. It also seems counterproductive to exclude the public from accessing this area when it could be seen as an opportunity to attract new members to the Club and the question should be why is it deemed necessary for a fence? Surely it cant be security, the club is well seen by the neighbouring residences. There are times when the young ones enjoying the pier make a bit of noise but it should be remembered that it is happy noise,as it should be when young people gather but it isnt just the young enjoying the area. All ages and abilities swim there regularly and is also important to enable community relationships. The whole of the Moncks Bay waterfront is now beautifully enhanced, it would be a great pity if we lose the ability to participate in swimming in this unique part of Christchurch. Please give consideration to my submission. Thankyou
23	[redacted]	Kia ora Felix Thank you for reaching out to us with your notice. We would like to make some comments, add some thoughts and obviously it is not intended to annoy any of the yacht club members as many are our neighbours and friends. We would prefer for our submission to be treated as confidential. Its certainly a tricky issue to address. Whilst the idea of having a fence to discourage the public from accessing the imediate yacht club area appears to be an immediate and easy solution, we do wonder if it will have the desired effect of deterring the public on race days. It is a shame that there is some feeling of intimidation or anti social behaviour from a few, when most of us just want to enjoy the water and beach surrounding the yacht club. It has become a popular area which all people should be able to enjoy. I wonder if better support to the yacht club by the council as leasers, to add signage or increase marshalling on club days would help. Is it worth talking to the other leaseholders (ie surfclubs) about how they handle the increase of public around their facilities during the summer months? We ourselves use the proposed fenced area in the summer to swim and think it would be a shame to not have access to it. In the summer months during race days, the yacht club simply can't fit their operations within the proposed fenced area and spread to the public carpark and beach excluding access by others. Its great, to have this mix of yachties, other watercraft users, fisherman and swimmers, but we all have to be considerate of each other and the public are pretty generous. The other thought I have is the use of galvanised fencing which will enventually rust. On our houses so close to the sea, we have to use stainless steel fittings. The jetty is showing real weathering due to the lack of maintenance generally, these structures quickly become unsightly. Will the upkeep of a fence happen and at whose cost? With the investment the Council has made in the great works on the Coastal walkway we would hope more consideration was given to the interaction and visual amenity of this area including necessary resource consent and iwi engagement. In summary – we object to the construction of the fence as proposed.
24	Karen Silvers	Dear Felix Having reviewed the fencing plan, I can confirm that I am opposed to the fencing. I feel it will impact the area and public access significantly. I should add that I do not see how fencing to the water's edge will deter antisocial behaviour as the gate and the water can be used for access, and failing that, the fence can be climbed. Many thanks,

Submissions received on Christchurch Yacht Club fencing proposal, September 2023

25	Don & Leanne Kennedy	Kia ora Felix As long term residents, users of Moncks Bay for access to water based activities and daily walkers to Sumner from Moncks Bay, we have concerns over the proposed new fencing the Chch Yacht Club is seeking approval for. We have watched with admiration and pride the way the coastal pathway is developing. It truly reflects and encourages use by and for all people. The proposed new fencing the Chch Yacht Club is seeking approval for sends a very different message - you are not welcome! We have watched the fun and enjoyment many get jumping into the water from the jetty, fencing this off seems draconian. Our casual observation is that the public respect those times the yacht club has sailing activities underway and don't get in their way. Please do not let the wishes of one organisation take priority and change the whole approach the Coastal Pathway has brought to our area - we want to encourage people (locals and visitors) to feel very welcome in using and enjoying the Coastal Pathway, beach and water access. Warm regards
26	[redacted]	Dear Felix, I am a Redcliffs local and I wish to comment on the proposed new fencing for the Christchurch Yacht Club and have condensed my thoughts into bullet points below: • I feel the proposal lacks vision (the proposed fence shown is an eyesore) and is unnecessary. • It sets a precedent for all Yacht and Surf Clubs to become segregated from the communities they belong to. • After years of cones and temporary fencing and with the coastal walkway finally nearing completion why would we then want to put up an ugly fence. • It is not going to enhance the look of the area. • I feel an overall vision for the Yacht club is lacking and it seems they are trying to keep people out every day not just on race or club days. • If security is an issue perhaps they can increase this with alarms, cameras and all the latest technology. Because I am a local resident **I wish to remain anonymous** but look forward to hearing the outcome of this proposal.
27	Pam Jacomb	Kia ora Felix, I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the proposed building of a fence surrounding our local Yacht Club Reserve. I have some questions first. 1. Can the Club be more specific regarding which dates and times the general public would be locked out of the area? 2. On the map, I can see what the red, blue and green lines are, but what does the pale blue line represent? 3. Can the Club provide times and dates of anti - social incidents so we can have a better idea of how many times in 2023 the club has been seriously impacted? 4. Has the Club invested in high quality security cameras? My comments 1. Moncks Bay is a stunning part of the estuary, rich with history and incredible wildlife and I believe a 1.8 metre grey steel fence surrounding the Yacht Club Reserve would be extraordinarily damaging to the beauty and special character of this bay. 2. This fence would represent the exact opposite of the strong values the people in our community share. It would symbolise in an ugly way, that there are some who are privileged and some who are not. Everyone who drove past would feel the coldness of that. 2. There MUST be some creative ways of addressing the anti -social behaviours.
28	Kathy Connolly	Kia ora Felix, I'm writing in support of the proposed new fencing for the Chch Yacht Club. As a nearby resident I think the yacht club provides a great resource to the local community in providing access to its jetty to allow swimmers to enjoy a swim in the estuary on a warm day. On a beautiful day it is far more than local residents that enjoy use of the jetty and surroundings - there can be 100's of people around. This is fine when the yacht club is not in use but when it is, I've also seen the yacht club officials trying to

Submissions received on Christchurch Yacht Club fencing proposal, September 2023

		clear their space so they can safely take their rescue boats in and out of the water and have them tied up alongside the jetty ready to go off and support the sailors. Most of the time people will do as requested and clear the area but at times people also refuse to move - causing a really stressful, unpleasant and unsafe situation. There is a clear Health and Safety risk if the area can not be cleared of people when the rescue boats are in use. I think the fencing provides a good solution - allowing the area to be open to the public when sailing is not on (most of the time) and allowing the yacht club area to be closed off to the public while the boats are in use enabling the health and safety of the public to be maintained. Thanks for the opportunity to provide my view.
29	Chris Moores	I am writing belatedly with regards to the fencing proposal for the Yacht Club in Moncks Bay as I have only become aware of it from a neighbour today. The proposal for fencing will be an absolute blight on the area, especially after so much money ($millions?) has been spent on beautifying to coastal pathway around to Sumner. The fact that a private club wishes to have private any fencing around a community area beggars belief and I understand that the lease the club has only relates to being able to close the area off for Regattas and sailing meetings rather than day to day use. This seems to be possession of a public area by stealth! I object wholeheartedly with the proposal in terms of the isolationist nature of the proposal, the fact that the area is for public use and the overall driving factor that it will be an eyesore of a cheap fence in what is a naturally beautiful area. I have lived across the road from the club for many years and there has not been any violent anti-social behaviour in all my time here so the reason given for the fencing is not a valid one in any way shape or form. Yours sincerely … If this goes to a community meeting I will be there to challenge it, and I am sure the neighbourhood will be too.