

Kōrero mai | Let's talk

## **Submission form - Waihoru Spreydon-Cashmere- Heathcote Community Board Plan 2026-28 Results**

Feb 20, 2026 - Mar 15, 2026

**Q2 Name of organisation/business**

Short Text Te Hapu o Ngati Wheke Inc

**Q4 Full name**

Short Text Joe Tyro

**Q8 Do you have any comments on the draft vision?**

Long Text Te Rāpaki-o-Te-Rakiwhakaputa takiwā centres on Whakaraupō, extending from Awaroa to Ihutai, along Ōpāwaho awa (Heathcote), to Hurutini awa through to Te Waihora, then Te Kaituna to Kaitara. Extending out 12 nautical miles, sharing kaitiaki responsibility along shared boundaries with our whānau of Te Rūnanga o Koukourarata, Wairewa Rūnanga, Taumutu Rūnanga and Ngāi Tūāhuriri. Te Hapū o Ngāti Wheke hold Rangatiratanga and mana whenua status within its Takiwa, those places, landscapes and natural resources and taonga that hold significance for us and that we have an ancestral connection and responsibility to. This Takiwā statement reflects the areas of significance that Te Hapū o Ngāti Wheke have always and will continue to, express Rangatiratanga and kaitiaki within. It adequately and appropriately expresses, where it is our expectation to be engaged with, included in decision making, supported and enabled, to express our role as kaitiaki and mana whenua of this place. We appreciate the opportunity to provide feedback on the draft Waihoru Spreydon-Cashmere-Heathcote Community Board Plan 2026-28

**Q9 Do you have any comments on Priority 1 - Ki uta ki tai From the hills to the sea?**

Long Text Te Hapū o Ngāti Wheke works extensively throughout our takiwā including on the north side of the Port Hills and down to and including the Ōpāwaho on a range of initiatives, including planting and fire management, to address the impact of sedimentation on the rivers and marine environment. We are supportive of the steps proposed by the Board and submit that as mana whenua within the Ngāti Wheke takiwā, we are given the opportunity to help lead and guide this work.

**Q10 Do you have any comments on Priority 2 - Response to intensification?**

Long Text In relation to the areas within our takiwā, Te Hapū o Ngāti Wheke is concerned about the impact of further urban development on sediment discharges into waterways and submits that any further development be carefully planned to ensure any additional negative impact is mitigated and minimised both during the development phase and once completed.

**Q11 Do you have any comments on Priority 3 - Transport choices?**

Long Text Te Hapū o Ngāti Wheke supports initiatives within its takiwā to increase well-designed walking, cycling, and public transport networks improve safety, reduce congestion, and encourage active lifestyles for all ages.

**Q12 Do you have any comments on Priority 4 - Parks and recreational amenities?**

Long Text Te Hapū o Ngāti Wheke supports initiatives within its takiwā to improve opportunities for local communities to better connect with the Taiao.

**Q13 Do you have any comments on Priority 5 - Activation of Ōmōkihi and surrounds?**

Long Text Ōmōkihi is on the south side of the Ōpāwaho and therefore within the Ngāti Wheke takiwā. Ngāti Wheke supports initiatives to maximise utilisation of Ōmōkihi

**Q14 Do you have any comments on Priority 6 - Community resilience?**

Long Text We support this priority and the proposed board actions. We note the work we are currently doing around Port Hills fire risk management and our interest in continuing to be involved in this and in coastal hazards adaptation planning work within our takiwā from Awaroa Godley head to Rapanui Shag Rock following on from our involvement in this around Whakaraupō.

**Q15 Overall, do you support the six priorities proposed? If not, what would you change?**

Long Text

We support the six priorities with the rider that:

- There must be greater and clearer provision for mana whenua perspectives across all priority areas.
- The Council has obligations to actively engage with mana whenua in planning, design, implementation, and resilience initiatives.

We therefore support the draft Plan subject to the inclusion of stronger and more explicit commitments to meaningful mana whenua engagement and recognition of kaitiakitanga responsibilities.

**Q2 Name of organisation/business**

Short Text Summit Road Society

**Q4 Full name**

Short Text Marie Gray

**Q8 Do you have any comments on the draft vision?**

Long Text We support this draft vision.

**Q9 Do you have any comments on Priority 1 - Ki uta ki tai From the hills to the sea?**

Long Text We strongly endorse this priority and the holistic approach encapsulated in "ki uta ki tai".

This landscape is rich in biodiversity and provides some of the most accessible native bush to residents of Christchurch. Being outside in nature and participating in efforts to restore it is recognised as beneficial to both the individual and the community. Research by Zealanda's Centre for People and Nature in Wellington found that levels of depression, anxiety and stress are lower in people who spent more time in natural spaces.

The Summit Road Society is leading community trapping efforts on the Port Hills with our Predator Free Port Hills initiative. Over 2200 households are trapping in their backyards and more households are signing up every week. Council investment in pest management and trapping programmes supports local action in response to the crises of climate change and biodiversity loss, physical and mental health, community cohesion and connection and the enhancement of our natural environment.

We are delighted to see the objective about the Port Hills Plan including the focus on revegetation of the reserves. This has been a priority for the Summit Road Society for many years and we are keen to work with the Community Board to progress this objective.

The Summit Road Society contributed to the development of the Ōpawaho Lower Heathcote Guidance Plan with a particular emphasis on the relationship between the river and the Port Hills and the importance of predator control along the river. The development of this guidance plan has been an exemplar of grassroots, community involvement. We are very pleased to see the implementation of the plan remains a priority area for the Community Board.

We support the focus on removal of environmental weeds. Weeds are some of the biggest threats to our native ecosystems. Weeds do not attract the same level of attention and funding for control measures as predators but they are a dire threat. They spread easily and outcompete and smother native species. They can completely overwhelm our special plant communities and displace the native invertebrates, lizards and birds that rely on them. We desperately need a coordinated, collaborative, funded programme to contain and where possible, eliminate weed species that threaten our native biodiversity.

We support the focus on sediment management in the rivers and estuary. The Port Hills is vulnerable to erosion due to the presence of loess soils. Revegetation of the gullies is one of the most effective actions we can take to reduce slips, sedimentation and erosion, especially as our climate warms and the risk of heavy rain events increase. It also has many other benefits. Our restoration programme in Linda Woods Reserve is an example of what can be achieved. Thanks to Jobs for Nature and other funders, we have planted over 60,000 plants in Avoca and Horotane Valleys. We have established wetland areas to catch sediment, enhance biodiversity and improve freshwater quality. Birds not seen for decades have returned to the reserve.

We also support continued planting in Red Zoned land and the Ōpawaho Heathcote River Planting Plan.

The devastating weather impacts in the North Island and across Banks Peninsula, including the Port Hills, highlight the need to speed up action on biodiversity loss and climate change. The Society's long term vision includes restoring native vegetation to the gullies of the Port Hills including wetlands, shrublands and broadleaf-podocarp forest. Reforestation of the gullies will create ecological corridors, provide habitat for native fauna, reduce erosion and sedimentation, improve freshwater values, enhance community wellbeing, improve resilience to extreme weather events, sequester carbon and restore mahinga kai values. This will require a collaborative approach, with the Christchurch City Council, community organisations and private landowners working together.

**Q10 Do you have any comments on Priority 2 - Response to intensification?**

Long Text The Summit Road Society generally supports efforts to lower emissions. We cannot comment on the specifics of most of these programmes as they are outside our mandate. However, we are keen to see more trees being planted on Council land, in particular native trees. In urban areas, we would like to see increased canopy across the city (at least 25%) through street trees, mini forests, green corridors and encouraging and incentivising backyard diversity.

This needs to be supported by landscape-scale restoration across the Port Hills and along the rivers.

**Q11 Do you have any comments on Priority 3 - Transport choices?**

Long Text We cannot comment on the specifics of most of these programmes as they are outside our mandate. However, the Summit Road Society generally supports efforts to lower emissions and improve safety on hill roads.

**Q12 Do you have any comments on Priority 4 - Parks and recreational amenities?**

Long Text We strongly support efforts to increase indigenous biodiversity in local parks and improve tracks, walkways and natural features in the Waihoru area.

**Q13 Do you have any comments on Priority 5 - Activation of Ōmōkihi and surrounds?**

Long Text We are looking forward to the opening of Ōmōkihi later in 2026. The South Library was a valued asset for the community, including the Summit Road Society. We regularly used to meet in this venue.

**Q14 Do you have any comments on Priority 6 - Community resilience?**

Long Text We strongly support this priority particularly the focus on a cohesive and agreed approach to mitigating the risk of wildfire. The Canterbury Climate Change Risk Assessment (2022) specifically identifies the Port Hills as an area where fire weather will increase over the coming decades. The Summit Road Society has been advocating for early fire detection units to be placed along the Southern Port Hills.

**Q15 Overall, do you support the six priorities proposed? If not, what would you change?**

Long Text Yes we support these six priorities, with a particular focus on protecting and restoring indigenous biodiversity across the Waihoru area.

**Q2 Name of organisation/business**

Short Text Stolen Bikes Christchurch and Canterbury

**Q4 Full name**

Short Text Noel Andrew

**Q8 Do you have any comments on the draft vision?**

Long Text

**Q9 Do you have any comments on Priority 1 - Ki uta ki tai From the hills to the sea?**

Long Text

**Q10 Do you have any comments on Priority 2 - Response to intensification?**

Long Text Intensification is inevitable but we need to minimize its effect on the way we live as a community. and where there is intensification, please make sure all resources can support it. Parking, EV charging, Bicycle and ebike secure storage, please dont leave these things as an afterthought. our current Electrical grid is maxed out already, and is barely going to deal with the current level of intensification, and with the increase in the need for EV charging has made this worse. we are dealing with a finite resource, limited by infrastructure and this is going to become the biggest limiting factor

**Q11 Do you have any comments on Priority 3 - Transport choices?**

Long Text The need for better bike security is a big priority for me, as run Stolen Bikes Christchurch and Canterbury, and i would actually love to be involved in the planning and design for any bike security that is intended to be installed. end of trip facilities are often targeted due to the high chance of long time before theft is noticed. Selwyn street is also a saw point for me as use it daily and have had soo many near misses. needs better space for cyclist, but not shared pathway, as they just dont work due to vast diferences in speed of users, ebikes and wheelchairs dont work together, and with the rest home, this is a real reality

**Q12 Do you have any comments on Priority 4 - Parks and recreational amenities?**

Long Text would be awesome to have bike stands beside bathrooms, as there is often no where near bathrooms to secure a bike, and this is a major issue that nobody seems to talk about. number of times im forced to bring bike into the disabled bathroom where possible, but this is not really a viable solution in most cases.

**Q13 Do you have any comments on Priority 5 - Activation of Ōmōkihi and surrounds?**

Long Text

**Q14 Do you have any comments on Priority 6 - Community resilience?**

Long Text we need better Fernz support in this area, with a real threat of more wild fires in the hills, we need the best possible resources to rapidly respond, and we need to be thinking about what species of trees are replanted, be preferable to have a native reforestation effort, verses having commercial logging in an area that is so close to residential, and we all know what the wast from this industry does to our water system whenever we get an extreme weather event, which are becoming more and more common.

**Q15 Overall, do you support the six priorities proposed? If not, what would you change?**

Long Text overall yes, but hope for community guidance when it comes to the bike security part of transport. use the ten plus years of experience that is on offer.

**Q2 Name of organisation/business**

Short Text Spokes Canterbury

**Q4 Full name**

Short Text Anne Scott

**Q8 Do you have any comments on the draft vision?**

Long Text Spokes would like to see accessible or inclusive added to the vision statement.

**Q9 Do you have any comments on Priority 1 - Ki uta ki tai From the hills to the sea?**

Long Text Spokes supports the environmental work of the council and community organisations.

**Q10 Do you have any comments on Priority 2 - Response to intensification?**

Long Text Spokes supports intensification, particularly where it is associated with good public transport, walking, and cycling infrastructure. We are now seeing many streets blocked with stored cars belonging to residents who have nowhere else to park. This is making some streets less safe to cycle on. We support the "Living Streets" model and suggest experimenting with removing vehicle through traffic from some streets by installing modal filters. There are many examples in Europe and the UK of successful designs.

**Q11 Do you have any comments on Priority 3 - Transport choices?**

Long Text Advocate for the Selwyn Street Masterplan to be delivered. Spokes supports the Selwyn Street masterplan. Advocate for improved bus infrastructure such as priority lanes and improved shelters. Spokes supports improvements in public transport. Consider providing and advocating for secure bicycle storage or cycle stands for park and ride at strategic bus stops.

Continue to link cycleways in the transport network

- Create a cycle connection along Edinburgh Street and install safe crossings at Lyttelton Street. Spokes supports this.
- Create a cycle connection linking Westmorland to the Nor-West Arc Major Cycleway. This is a priority for Spokes. One idea is to create an alternative shared pedestrian / cycle bridge at Cashmere Bridge into Ashgrove Terrace if the current bridge cannot be widened/clip-on lanes be added.
- Provide a direct crossing point for cyclists at the Milton Street to Simeon Street cycleway. There are new traffic lights now in place but no indication for cyclists on how to get to them when travelling north up Simeon Street. Also this crossing has become so popular with pedestrians that the space on the south side has become congested. Increase and improve cycle parking and end-of-trip facilities, particularly at sporting grounds, outside businesses and at the bottom of the hills. Safe cycle parking is now one of the biggest barriers to increasing cycling. Cyclists with expensive e-bikes want to know there is bike parking that will fit their bikes. Children should be able to bike to sport without leaving their bikes on the ground for others to trip over or risk them being stolen. Improve overall safety of transport on the hills through smart design choices and improvements and advocate for restoring bus connectivity in these areas. Spokes supports this.

Seek safety improvements to the roundabout at St Martins Road/Wilsons Road, Particularly for pedestrians and cyclists.

Implement traffic solutions to promote adherence to the speed limit in 30km/h speed areas. Sections where pedestrian bridges cross the river and intersect with Eastern Terrace have been mentioned as a particular problem for children travelling to school.

Support school safety with crossing points, sight lines, and pedestrian access changes. In particular outside of West Spreydon School and Cashmere High School. Spokes strongly supports improving safety around schools to increase the uptake of active transport, including cycling. We would like to see school drop-off zones moved further away from school entrances and crossing points. We would also like to see a safety review of Rose Street. A number of Rose Street issues have come up in our public consultations on cycling this year and there is a strong desire to see something done before someone is seriously hurt.

**Q12 Do you have any comments on Priority 4 - Parks and recreational amenities?**

Long Text Spokes supports the upgrade of paths through parks remembering that these have a dual role of providing safe shared routes for getting to school and around neighbourhoods by active transport. When paths are renewed they should be widened where needed and provide an easy transition onto the road at the end. Spokes also supports toilets being made available and would like suitable bike parking in more places, including outside toilets.

Many entrances into our parks are too narrow for cargo bikes and bike trailers, which often transport young children, for disability devices such as trikes, wheelchairs, and mobility scooters, and for push chairs. The width and

angle of the entrances should be made more accessible for everyone.

We would like to see a safer connection between Victors Road and Hoon Hay Park. Please create a new entrance way in the middle onto the road with better sight lines and away from driveways.

**Q13 Do you have any comments on Priority 5 - Activation of Ōmōkihi and surrounds?**

Long Text We support the Hunter Terrace pump track. Spokes would also like to see increased appropriate bike parking at Ōmōkihi and the market. We support Ōmōkihi Shared Pathway.

**Q14 Do you have any comments on Priority 6 - Community resilience?**

Long Text The Community Board should promote cycling as a form of resilience that is not reliant on fossil fuels or subject to price shocks from international actions that we have no control over. In times when the road network is compromised, cycling provides a more flexible way of getting around damaged neighbourhoods.

**Q15 Overall, do you support the six priorities proposed? If not, what would you change?**

Long Text Spokes Cycling Priorities in Waihoru  
These priorities have come from Spokes members and from a number of public consultations in 2025 and 2026. It is pleasing to see that a number of these align with the Community Board priorities.

These are our top 11 of 30 requests for Waihoru in priority order:

Connect the Rapanui Shag Rock cycleway with the Heathcote Express. Bridle Path Road is narrow and the traffic is going too fast. The preferred solution is a shared path where Bridle Path Road is narrowest.

133 Eastern Terrace / Malcolm Ave / pedestrian bridge intersection needs safety work. Traffic going too fast on Eastern Terrace and not looking for children crossing to school - Cashmere High and Beckenham School. Spokes suggests a raised intersection to slow traffic or create a shared zone.

Ashgrove Terrace - add visual indication that people are crossing at the pedestrian bridge, raise the intersection and/or create a shared zone.

Rose Street needs traffic calming for safe routes to school. The lack of road edge reduces space for cyclists. Rat runners are increasingly using Rose Street / Palmside Street along the Nor'west Arc route to get to Cashmere Road rather than use the Hoon Hay intersection. This is becoming a safety problem, particularly on Rose Street.

There is a good route from Wilsons Road to Wilsons Rd North to the paths around past Lancaster Park. Crossing Brougham St is no problem due to far off light phases. But from Shakespeare Rd to the bike lanes at Lancaster Park is treacherous. Otherwise this is a good route for linking the South and the East. The Shakespeare Rd / Wilsons Road intersection is dangerous due to poor sight lines.

Connection to Westmorland. Create an alternative shared pedestrian / cycle bridge at Cashmere Bridge into Ashgrove Terrace.

The Nor' West Arc at Rose St has a really harsh transition at the Northern entrance from the park on to Rose street. This is a safety issue because of the speed of cars turning out of Hoon Hay Road if a cyclist falls off their bike.

Smartlea St bridge too narrow / tight bend – not good for cargo bikes, trikes or less confident riders. As part of the MCR this should be a better standard accessible for all, including wheelchairs and mobility scooters.

Lincoln Road just past Wrights Road/Lyttelton St intersection heading towards Halswell narrows into one lane with no space for cyclists. The new bus lanes do not seem to solve the problem according to the diagrams.

Wilsons Road / St Martins Road roundabout has safety issues for cyclists and pedestrians - needs upgrade.

Centaurus Road opposite the little pathway (Farnley Reserve) to Corsons Ave behind shops, to get into Palatine Terrace could be improved. There is no cutdown to get into the path / road. This is a good route to get to Beckenham School.

The connection between Victors Road and Hoon Hay Park is dangerous. Join the two paths in the park and create a new entrance way in the middle onto the road away from driveways.

Spokes is happy to provide additional information on these priorities on request.

There are also some general things that can significantly improve cycling in the Waihoru area.

Speed reductions make neighbourhoods safer for all road users. Spokes would like to see more roads reduced from 50km/h to 40km/h and 60km/h to 50km/h.

Pedestrian / cyclist refuge crossings make it easier to get across busy roads.

Bike parking at popular destinations and events that suit a wide range of bikes such as cargo and cargo trikes with space for loading and unloading children.

Provision of cut downs into and out of paths in parks and alleyways – suitable for cargo bikes and mobility devices.

Progressively remove staples and bollards at alleyway entrances that are too narrow for cycles, push chairs and mobility devices to navigate. Put reflective tape on bollards that remain and paint diamonds on pavement where appropriate. Where bollards remain, offset them back from the entrance slightly

More cycle signage and way-finding. This was the top request at the UCSA Orientation Day from new students.

Adding 'except cycles and pedestrians' signs to no exit streets with alleyways helps for navigation

Reinstating cycle infrastructure when road works are completed, e.g. green paint.

Improved lighting for cycling and walking through parks and alleyways.

Greater enforcement for vehicles parked / driving in cycleways and cycle lanes, or dangerously parking at school entrances. Automated 24/7 enforcement at hot spots.

Ensuring that cyclists trigger the lights at intersections without waiting for a car or pressing the pedestrian button.

E.g. if there is a cycle lane at the intersection, make sure the cycle lane has underground loops to trigger the signals, and diamonds on the road surface so cyclists know where to ride.

Spokes would like to see bike parking provided at all playgrounds.

Flexi-posts should be installed on left-hand bends where drivers cut the corner and drive in the cycle lane or shoulder, where possible. These have been very effective along Kotare Street. Spokes will send the full submission to the relevant person as it is easier to read.

**Q2 Name of organisation/business**

Short Text Play Preservation Trust

**Q4 Full name**

Short Text Emma Woods

**Q8 Do you have any comments on the draft vision?**

Long Text

**Q9 Do you have any comments on Priority 1 - Ki uta ki tai From the hills to the sea?**

Long Text

**Q10 Do you have any comments on Priority 2 - Response to intensification?**

Long Text We support initiatives that will increase opportunities for children's play and independent movement within their neighbourhoods. Encouraging sustainable transport options, greener streets and creating bumping spaces will help areas feel safer and more vibrant. Community events and placemaking opportunities will help connect residents.

**Q11 Do you have any comments on Priority 3 - Transport choices?**

Long Text We support these initiatives to increase safety for cyclists and pedestrians, especially children, and to improve efficiency and comfort for bus users. We support 30km speed limits in areas where children are likely to be traveling independently or playing.

**Q12 Do you have any comments on Priority 4 - Parks and recreational amenities?**

Long Text We support play elements in parks which are non-structured and nature-based. Having spaces where children can create their own play opportunities with loose parts equipment or through activities like creating and recreating their own bike tracks (process rather than final product) will make outdoor play more appealing and enjoyable.

**Q13 Do you have any comments on Priority 5 - Activation of Ōmōkihi and surrounds?**

Long Text Having Ōmōkihi as a community hub with opportunities for play, rest and connection makes sense.

**Q14 Do you have any comments on Priority 6 - Community resilience?**

Long Text

**Q15 Overall, do you support the six priorities proposed? If not, what would you change?**

Long Text We support the six priorities

**Q2 Name of organisation/business**

Short Text Place Cadets Trust (formerly Gather Foundation)

**Q4 Full name**

Short Text Dr Wendy Hoddinott

**Q8 Do you have any comments on the draft vision?**

Long Text

**Q9 Do you have any comments on Priority 1 - Ki uta ki tai From the hills to the sea?**

Long Text

**Q10 Do you have any comments on Priority 2 - Response to intensification?**

Long Text

**Q11 Do you have any comments on Priority 3 - Transport choices?**

Long Text

**Q12 Do you have any comments on Priority 4 - Parks and recreational amenities?**

Long Text Place Cadets Trust (formerly Gather Foundation) encourages Community Boards to recognise the importance of involving tamariki in the design of the parks and outdoor spaces they use every day. Children are often the primary users of these places, yet their perspectives are rarely included in planning and design processes. When their insights are meaningfully incorporated, the resulting spaces are often more active, inclusive and well used. Schools can act as hubs for community connection, and involving tamariki can strengthen relationships between councils, schools and neighbourhoods while fostering a sense of belonging and care for local places. We encourage the Waihoru Spreydon-Cashmere-Heathcote Community Board to support approaches that integrate specialist expertise in participatory design with children alongside existing Council processes to ensure children's perspectives are effectively included in shaping local environments. A recent example of this in our takiwā is: <https://www.newsline.ccc.govt.nz/news/story/local-students-bring-fresh-ideas-to-hoon-hay-park>

**Q13 Do you have any comments on Priority 5 - Activation of Ōmōkihi and surrounds?**

Long Text

**Q14 Do you have any comments on Priority 6 - Community resilience?**

Long Text

**Q15 Overall, do you support the six priorities proposed? If not, what would you change?**

Long Text

**Q2 Name of organisation/business**

Short Text Ōpāwaho Heathcote River Network

**Q4 Full name**

Short Text Chrissie Williams

**Q8 Do you have any comments on the draft vision?**

Long Text The advocacy role of the Community Board is very important. The Board also need to be a good 'connector' - linking up people/groups who they think could work together

**Q9 Do you have any comments on Priority 1 - Ki uta ki tai From the hills to the sea?**

Long Text We acknowledge and support Ki uta ki tai being the Board's highest priority.  
Of the Board's actions we would suggest #2 becomes #1.  
We appreciate #6 supporting OHRN  
We are not sure what management methods for sediment are available but are happy to explore this with CCC staff and the Board

**Q10 Do you have any comments on Priority 2 - Response to intensification?**

Long Text In our view, intensification is preferable to sprawl and development on the Port Hills or on flood prone land in the west.  
Ensuring infrastructure, green spaces, and street trees keep up with the increasing population is critical - so that there is not an increase in stormwater into the Ōpāwaho.

**Q11 Do you have any comments on Priority 3 - Transport choices?**

Long Text We agree that improving walking, cycling and PT networks is the mechanism to reduce private car use, and as a consequence reduce pollutants.  
In the CCC Annual Plan it shows planning for the Major Cycleway - Ōpāwaho River Route begins in FY 26/27 with construction in FY 28/29+ - we suggest in #3 you add an additional bullet point:  
. Engage with the planning for the Major Cycleway - Ōpāwaho River Route

**Q12 Do you have any comments on Priority 4 - Parks and recreational amenities?**

Long Text Either here or under Ki uta ki tai have an action:  
. Support community organisations who carry out restoration projects adjacent to the Ōpāwaho and in other parks and reserves.

**Q13 Do you have any comments on Priority 5 - Activation of Ōmōkihi and surrounds?**

Long Text We agree Ōmōkihi is a valued and important community hub. We suggest an additional action such as:  
. Advocate for the planning and implementation of the landscaping at the Ōmōkihi site to be well integrated, even if being managed by different Council units.

**Q14 Do you have any comments on Priority 6 - Community resilience?**

Long Text CCC has a well-recognised coastal adaptation framework. Our concern is that the focus is on coast hazards exacerbated by sea level rise and storm surge. Whereas in the Ōpāwaho catchment there are also risks from rain events causing overtopping the river banks, from landslides, and as mentioned from wild fires.  
Extending adaptation planning through the catchment would acknowledge these other risks.

**Q15 Overall, do you support the six priorities proposed? If not, what would you change?**

Long Text We support these.  
we would raise Community Resilience to #3

**Q2 Name of organisation/business**

Short Text Addington Te Kura Taumatua

**Q4 Full name**

Short Text Donna Bilas (Tumuaki)

**Q8 Do you have any comments on the draft vision?**

Long Text Sounds good - broad enough to have wide scope and meet the diverse needs of the people in our community

**Q9 Do you have any comments on Priority 1 - Ki uta ki tai From the hills to the sea?**

Long Text

**Q10 Do you have any comments on Priority 2 - Response to intensification?**

Long Text Looking at a new high school would be great. With intensified housing shared green spaces are hugely important for children to play, people to gather. Health promoting leisure. And spaces that inter-connect to widen connection between area.

**Q11 Do you have any comments on Priority 3 - Transport choices?**

Long Text We agree that the shared space, commercial residential gathering social spots are important to our area. Have you considered access points to Addington Te Kura Taumatua - with cycle ways limiting parking and intensification of Somerset Crescent we need dedicated drop off and pick up spots. With the Brougham Street overbridge it will be interesting to see the impact it will have on transport patterns changes and further residential intensification on the other side of Brougham St.

**Q12 Do you have any comments on Priority 4 - Parks and recreational amenities?**

Long Text The toilets at Addington Park definitely need an upgrade will make this area more desirable and situated along side the Addington Gardens means more people will want to access this area. Yes please to the path at Barrington Park. Continued advocacy for play spaces is also a priority for our community. Inclusive spaces, neuro-sensory suitable (fenced) and safe spaces are important.

**Q13 Do you have any comments on Priority 5 - Activation of Ōmōkihi and surrounds?**

Long Text

**Q14 Do you have any comments on Priority 6 - Community resilience?**

Long Text Increased population has also meant a change in ethnic demographics which means more tailored approaches to communicating around things like emergency preparedness and evacuation plans - so in more than one language, more than one spot and at different times of the day. And education about rental property tenant rights is also important for new New Zealanders. More easily accessible solar options for schools would also assist in future proofing energy needs.

**Q15 Overall, do you support the six priorities proposed? If not, what would you change?**

Long Text Yes

**Q2 Name of organisation/business**

Short Text

**Q4 Full name**

Short Text

Lucy-Mary Mulholland

**Q8 Do you have any comments on the draft vision?**

Long Text

Great sentiment in this statement. It would be even more compelling if it referenced the uniqueness of place I think. This statement feels like it could apply to any area, in any city. What is it about our area that distinguishes it? What contribution does our area make to the wider landscape (socially, ecologically, economically, culturally) that makes it unique and vital? As a community member it's hard to get excited about a generic vision statement.

Also, it feels like the health of the natural environment is not reference at all in this statement despite it be one of the board's priorities. Will this just be a great place for people to live, work and play, or will it also be a great place for bird life, fish life, insects etc. too, i.e. holistic?

**Q9 Do you have any comments on Priority 1 - Ki uta ki tai From the hills to the sea?**

Long Text

I think all these points are fantastic and so needed.

One thing that could be considered is also looking at the recreation angle. Today I was walking along the Ōtākaro in the city centre, watching families kayak along it and tourist punts gliding by. I saw eels in the water, a great sign that the river is healthy. I think about all the amazing happenings at Riverlution too. This river feels more loved, more cared for. Yes there are amazing volunteer groups all along the Ōpāwaho (I am part of one!), but it all seems to be a lot of hard slog. Where is the playfulness, the fun, the joy, the thing that makes people want to keep it nice, now and into the future?

Rather than just focusing on the negatives and trying to mitigate those (invasive weeds, pests, sediment), is there also space to be creative and bring more playfulness to this river? If your kids skim in the river, do you perhaps care a bit more when you see a campaign to stop developers causing sediment run off?

Could we have a raft building competition every year, where community groups and schools all along the catchment build their vessels, culminating in a whole flotilla of rafts making their way along the Ōpāwaho from source to sea? Who knows, maybe that's a terrible idea, but hopefully you get the gist of what I'm saying. What more could we do to make our communities fall in love with our awa? And our whole catchment for that matter? When we love something, we care for it.

**Q10 Do you have any comments on Priority 2 - Response to intensification?**

Long Text

Would love to know what a 'living street' in Spreydon looks like? Sounds cool! Can we do it in Stourbridge St? We live here and would love to be a part of making our street more alive. We've been working with several neighbours over the last 6 months doing a neighbourhood market to try and make our place feel more alive and grow connections in the community. Also, would love to know if it's possible to re-naturalise the culverted stream that flows under Therese St and around the neighbourhood. That would definitely make our neighbourhood more alive!

**Q11 Do you have any comments on Priority 3 - Transport choices?**

Long Text

Yes, love all of this! These priorities sounds fantastic.

**Q12 Do you have any comments on Priority 4 - Parks and recreational amenities?**

Long Text

Regarding the gravel pathway in Barrington Park, I believe this is an overland flow path, is that correct? This path floods so often throughout the year along with plenty of other spots in the park. I don't know how feasible this is, but is there any way to "go with the flow" and try and find a way to channel and make space for water. Perhaps with swales/wetland type areas?

**Q13 Do you have any comments on Priority 5 - Activation of Ōmōkihi and surrounds?**

Long Text

These all sounds great! We're so looking forward to this new facility and love the priority the board has given to the Sunday market and the way kids bike around that area.

**Q14 Do you have any comments on Priority 6 - Community resilience?**

Long Text Mānuka Cottage feels like it has great potential to be a Community Hub. Also Whareora Community House. Feels like so much potential could be unlocked at Whareora. I live a 5 minute walk from it and never feel very inspired to go in there. It feels like all the activities are for older people. We have a preschooler and it would be amazing if things happened there like playgroups, or family events. That building is a real asset that could be such an amazing community hub for Spreydon with a little bit more activation and broadening of who it serves / welcomes. I approached them once to see if they very able to umbrella community-led initiatives in the area, and they simply responded with "you can book our rooms for your meetings if you want". Was kind of disappointing.

**Q15 Overall, do you support the six priorities proposed? If not, what would you change?**

Long Text Yes, I absolutely support these 6 priorities. They are so relevant to this area and what we need to be working on.

**Q2 Name of organisation/business**

Short Text

**Q4 Full name**

Short Text

Ruth Close

**Q8 Do you have any comments on the draft vision?**

Long Text

**Q9 Do you have any comments on Priority 1 - Ki uta ki tai From the hills to the sea?**

Long Text

**Q10 Do you have any comments on Priority 2 - Response to intensification?**

Long Text

I support these actions.

**Q11 Do you have any comments on Priority 3 - Transport choices?**

Long Text

**Q12 Do you have any comments on Priority 4 - Parks and recreational amenities?**

Long Text

**Q13 Do you have any comments on Priority 5 - Activation of Ōmōkihi and surrounds?**

Long Text

**Q14 Do you have any comments on Priority 6 - Community resilience?**

Long Text

**Q15 Overall, do you support the six priorities proposed? If not, what would you change?**

Long Text

Yes, overall I support the priorities

**Q2 Name of organisation/business**

Short Text

**Q4 Full name**

Short Text

Nikki Gee

**Q8 Do you have any comments on the draft vision?**

Long Text

**Q9 Do you have any comments on Priority 1 - Ki uta ki tai From the hills to the sea?**

Long Text

**Q10 Do you have any comments on Priority 2 - Response to intensification?**

Long Text

**Q11 Do you have any comments on Priority 3 - Transport choices?**

Long Text

I've asked the council along with multiple other parents to make the small crossing by Selwyn Kindy zebra. So many parents cross there and it's so busy. I was told too hard to set up signs and stops to get it painted and having the people to do it ugh I was tempted to paint it myself ☹☹

**Q12 Do you have any comments on Priority 4 - Parks and recreational amenities?**

Long Text

**Q13 Do you have any comments on Priority 5 - Activation of Ōmōkihi and surrounds?**

Long Text

**Q14 Do you have any comments on Priority 6 - Community resilience?**

Long Text

**Q15 Overall, do you support the six priorities proposed? If not, what would you change?**

Long Text

**Q2 Name of organisation/business**

Short Text

**Q4 Full name**

Short Text

Brendan Creutz

**Q8 Do you have any comments on the draft vision?**

Long Text

Sounds good

**Q9 Do you have any comments on Priority 1 - Ki uta ki tai From the hills to the sea?**

Long Text

Slunds great

**Q10 Do you have any comments on Priority 2 - Response to intensification?**

Long Text

New highschool in SE. Many leave or go private when the kids get to that age. Not good for the community.

**Q11 Do you have any comments on Priority 3 - Transport choices?**

Long Text

All good

**Q12 Do you have any comments on Priority 4 - Parks and recreational amenities?**

Long Text

Support these

**Q13 Do you have any comments on Priority 5 - Activation of Ōmōkihi and surrounds?**

Long Text

Solar hub sounds interesting.

**Q14 Do you have any comments on Priority 6 - Community resilience?**

Long Text

Smart odeas

**Q15 Overall, do you support the six priorities proposed? If not, what would you change?**

Long Text

Yes, these all sound like great initiatives. Go for it!

**Q2 Name of organisation/business**

Short Text

**Q4 Full name**

Short Text Julie Stewart

**Q8 Do you have any comments on the draft vision?**

Long Text

**Q9 Do you have any comments on Priority 1 - Ki uta ki tai From the hills to the sea?**

Long Text

**Q10 Do you have any comments on Priority 2 - Response to intensification?**

Long Text Waltham Pool is a taunga. It would be brilliant if it was open early in the morning. It is fabulous that families with children under 5 can go for free but the opening time of 11.30 is time to go home for little people for lunch and a snooze. Outdoor swimmers love going early in the morning. It needs much better advertising on the street. Few people know about it.

**Q11 Do you have any comments on Priority 3 - Transport choices?**

Long Text Seek safety improvements for bikes at the junction of Tennyson St /Colombo St and Strickland St.

**Q12 Do you have any comments on Priority 4 - Parks and recreational amenities?**

Long Text

**Q13 Do you have any comments on Priority 5 - Activation of Ōmōkihi and surrounds?**

Long Text Thank you it's going to be fantastic

**Q14 Do you have any comments on Priority 6 - Community resilience?**

Long Text

**Q15 Overall, do you support the six priorities proposed? If not, what would you change?**

Long Text Thankyou.

**Q2 Name of organisation/business**

Short Text

**Q4 Full name**

Short Text

Matthew Askey

**Q8 Do you have any comments on the draft vision?**

Long Text

**Q9 Do you have any comments on Priority 1 - Ki uta ki tai From the hills to the sea?**

Long Text

I like the board's plan for this priority, I would like to give suggestions for other areas that could be considered for this priority.

1. Domestic cats - I support pest management, I would encourage the board to include domestic cats. Although it is out the scope of the board to create legislation to keep cats indoors, you could encourage cat owners to keep their cat indoors particularly for those near bird nesting areas at the local wetlands. Outdoor cats are harmful to local wildlife and are also at risk of being harmed themselves by cars. Raising awareness of the benefits of keeping cats indoors would help achieve this priority.

2. Wasp management - I've noticed an increase of invasive wasp activity in urban Christchurch. It is difficult to deal with wasps as the nests are hard to locate and could be several houses away. Bait centric solutions such as Vespex are effective but also a lot of work for a single resident to manage as it requires training, certification, and storage of poisoned bait. I would like to see an initiative that would make it easier for residents to deal with wasp nests that aren't on their property, such as a community lead pest control group that could set up bait to destroy nearby nests.

**Q10 Do you have any comments on Priority 2 - Response to intensification?**

Long Text

**Q11 Do you have any comments on Priority 3 - Transport choices?**

Long Text

I support this priority. I have noticed that previously quiet streets have had an increase in traffic, making them more dangerous. I live near Stourbridge Street which has become a lot busier and I have noticed a huge amount of dangerous driving. I support the decision to change it to a 30KM zone but due to the street being so long, wide, and straight a lot of people don't adhere to the new speed limit and will even overtake cars. Just this morning I witnessed a van get forced to the side of the road because it was being overtaken by a speeding vehicle. I would like to see ways to encourage drivers to adhere to the speed limit and enforcement for those who don't.

I would encourage the board to review the intersection of Simeon Street and Coronation Street. The intersection is dangerous especially for pedestrians and cyclists. It is at the end of a major cycleway but has no safety measures or infrastructure for cyclists. It is busy and dangerous for vehicles because it is an offset intersection and I've seen people get confused about give way rules.

**Q12 Do you have any comments on Priority 4 - Parks and recreational amenities?**

Long Text

I support this priority. I'd like for the local wetlands (such as the Cracroft wetlands) to be on lead only for dogs with clear signage. I've seen off lead dogs running through the wetlands (not on the paths) and disturbing the native birds. We need to protect the wildlife at the wetlands especially when birds are nesting.

**Q13 Do you have any comments on Priority 5 - Activation of Ōmōkihi and surrounds?**

Long Text

**Q14 Do you have any comments on Priority 6 - Community resilience?**

Long Text

**Q15 Overall, do you support the six priorities proposed? If not, what would you change?**

Long Text

**Q2 Name of organisation/business**

Short Text

**Q4 Full name**

Short Text

Duane McDougall

**Q8 Do you have any comments on the draft vision?**

Long Text

**Q9 Do you have any comments on Priority 1 - Ki uta ki tai From the hills to the sea?**

Long Text

How will any of this have a material impact to the degradation of Ōpāwaho Heathcote River? recent heavy rain and the current building intensification has resulted in a 3 weeks of environmental waste of the river let alone raise the risks of flooding down stream once all the sediment from the Hills now resides along the river bed. On a side note, have a look at the south side of the library by the walking bridge. The planting there has made it a terrible eye sore... not a lot of forethought went in to the planting there... it's now overgrown with weeds so you can't see the plants and mowers can't get in there to tidy up the space.

**Q10 Do you have any comments on Priority 2 - Response to intensification?**

Long Text

a lot of lead conversations & advocate but what tangible actions can be expected and how are you measuring success?

**Q11 Do you have any comments on Priority 3 - Transport choices?**

Long Text

I'd suggest no one will use cycle parking unless their is tight security aligned with any parking.

**Q12 Do you have any comments on Priority 4 - Parks and recreational amenities?**

Long Text

**Q13 Do you have any comments on Priority 5 - Activation of Ōmōkihi and surrounds?**

Long Text

also suggest that housing intensification in the area is managed so parking in the weekends especially is allocated to visitors v residents as there is often not enough (if any) parking for high density housing

**Q14 Do you have any comments on Priority 6 - Community resilience?**

Long Text

**Q15 Overall, do you support the six priorities proposed? If not, what would you change?**

Long Text

yes but still light on actions and measures of success

**Q2 Name of organisation/business**

Short Text

**Q4 Full name**

Short Text

Oliver Mould

**Q8 Do you have any comments on the draft vision?**

Long Text

Maybe "working to create a vibrant and distinguished Board area" could become "working to create and enhance a vibrant and modern/prominent/eminant/desirable area"

**Q9 Do you have any comments on Priority 1 - Ki uta ki tai From the hills to the sea?**

Long Text

All good. I like the idea of "Investigate options for strategic management to reduce the workload on busy volunteers" as too many people either don't have the skills or time to dedicate to manage projects. Maybe widen this to become an overarching goal for all the points above?

**Q10 Do you have any comments on Priority 2 - Response to intensification?**

Long Text

Definitely need to focus on better quality housing. What's being built is mostly rubbish which doesn't enhance the property or the owners quality of life. In borderline conservation areas, like Studholme Street, design and build guidelines \*must\* be put in place.

**Q11 Do you have any comments on Priority 3 - Transport choices?**

Long Text

Seek safety improvements to the junction of Studholme Street and the footbridge over the Heathcote River for cyclists and pedestrians. Start by installing cycle counters and see how many people use this route (I see a lot).

Join up all the disparate Barrington Street cycle lanes!

**Q12 Do you have any comments on Priority 4 - Parks and recreational amenities?**

Long Text

I especially like #6

**Q13 Do you have any comments on Priority 5 - Activation of Ōmōkihi and surrounds?**

Long Text

#7 please add the same for the Somerfield Community Centre. We need distributed resilience. Also, add rainwater collection tanks for both facilities please.

**Q14 Do you have any comments on Priority 6 - Community resilience?**

Long Text

Please make sure Somerfield Community Centre is included in any conversations around resilience planning.

**Q15 Overall, do you support the six priorities proposed? If not, what would you change?**

Long Text

It's a great, aspirational set of priorities. Keep up the good work!

**Q2 Name of organisation/business**

Short Text

**Q4 Full name**

Short Text

Ruth Marianne Wilkins

**Q8 Do you have any comments on the draft vision?**

Long Text

It's a good vision for a safe and thriving place to live that also looks after and enhances the environment

**Q9 Do you have any comments on Priority 1 - Ki uta ki tai From the hills to the sea?**

Long Text

Great goals

**Q10 Do you have any comments on Priority 2 - Response to intensification?**

Long Text

More great goals

**Q11 Do you have any comments on Priority 3 - Transport choices?**

Long Text

Anything that promotes safe walking, cycling and public transport options as alternatives to cars is great. Secure and abundant cycle parking at end-of-trip places, be they sporting/events centres, or other city destinations is important

**Q12 Do you have any comments on Priority 4 - Parks and recreational amenities?**

Long Text

'...places where people of all ages can move, play, gather and relax'. As our population ages, provide spaces and equipment that encourage older people to 'play' and have fun, without feeling like they have to have a child with them to do this. Equipment to hang from, balance on, step on... Things out in our environment that will help older folks with skills to keep them safe and mobile in their own homes

**Q13 Do you have any comments on Priority 5 - Activation of Ōmōkihi and surrounds?**

Long Text

Great goals and vision! Libraries can be an absolute hub of communities, bringing people together for a wide variety of activities and experiences

**Q14 Do you have any comments on Priority 6 - Community resilience?**

Long Text

Solar and battery storage is a no-brainer - go for it!

**Q15 Overall, do you support the six priorities proposed? If not, what would you change?**

Long Text

Yes, absolutely

**Q2 Name of organisation/business**

Short Text

**Q4 Full name**

Short Text

Naomi Ishihara

**Q8 Do you have any comments on the draft vision?**

Long Text

Sounds good.

**Q9 Do you have any comments on Priority 1 - Ki uta ki tai From the hills to the sea?**

Long Text

**Q10 Do you have any comments on Priority 2 - Response to intensification?**

Long Text

As the intensification increases, there are growing need for children who live in the newly-built apartment-style houses to be able to access spaces in public as their play space, whether it be local green space or their own streets. Outside unstructured play (and the lack of it) strongly links to children's mental and physical well-being for their long-term development. Also, allowing children to play in their streets increases social cohesion in the community as multiple households watch their children together, and connect with each other. This can be such an effective tool to develop a strong and connected neighbourhood. Other than the busy main streets, we should implement ways to make our streets more child-friendly (both with infrastructure, such as speed bump, and culture-development, such as street events).

**Q11 Do you have any comments on Priority 3 - Transport choices?**

Long Text

We, as a family, appreciate all the cycleway development around Spreydon.

**Q12 Do you have any comments on Priority 4 - Parks and recreational amenities?**

Long Text

We, as a family, greatly appreciate your priority in advocating for unstructured play elements in parks and surrounding green spaces. I understand CCC is experimenting with tree swings in non-playground spaces. If you put a swing outside a playground, it will become a small play destination for local children, which then allows them to connect and become friends with children in their neighbourhood, which then results in their families getting to know each other.  
Play gear placed in unexpected places can be a very effective way to support community development.

**Q13 Do you have any comments on Priority 5 - Activation of Ōmōkihi and surrounds?**

Long Text

We are looking forward to the completion of the facility.

**Q14 Do you have any comments on Priority 6 - Community resilience?**

Long Text

I appreciated this.

**Q15 Overall, do you support the six priorities proposed? If not, what would you change?**

Long Text

Yes, I support those priorities.

**Q2 Name of organisation/business**

Short Text

**Q4 Full name**

Short Text

Charlotte Wright

**Q8 Do you have any comments on the draft vision?**

Long Text

I support the vision, except the need to be a distinguished area. Unclear what distinguished means?

**Q9 Do you have any comments on Priority 1 - Ki uta ki tai From the hills to the sea?**

Long Text

Support this priority

**Q10 Do you have any comments on Priority 2 - Response to intensification?**

Long Text

Suggest 2. Should be 'work with CCC to facilitate conversations'

**Q11 Do you have any comments on Priority 3 - Transport choices?**

Long Text

Support

**Q12 Do you have any comments on Priority 4 - Parks and recreational amenities?**

Long Text

Support

**Q13 Do you have any comments on Priority 5 - Activation of Ōmōkihi and surrounds?**

Long Text

Support this

**Q14 Do you have any comments on Priority 6 - Community resilience?**

Long Text

Suggest focus in hazard vulnerable areas, and support for community refuge centres such as marae

**Q15 Overall, do you support the six priorities proposed? If not, what would you change?**

Long Text

Yes. This is a long list though & a work plan will be needed to sequence activities to be effective. Would like to see the board work with the ccc to address erosion in the Chch adventure park. I would also like to see the creation of food forests/ productive tree planting in our neighbourhoods, ie fruit trees, together with an adopt a tree campaign- people / community pledge to look after tree/s & fruit is shared amongst neighbourhood. Cracroft could be a pilot

**Q2 Name of organisation/business**

Short Text

**Q4 Full name**

Short Text

Erin Stewart

**Q8 Do you have any comments on the draft vision?**

Long Text

**Q9 Do you have any comments on Priority 1 - Ki uta ki tai From the hills to the sea?**

Long Text

Strongly support revegetation of Port Hills reserves and river remediation and planting.

**Q10 Do you have any comments on Priority 2 - Response to intensification?**

Long Text

Support all of these initiatives, especially the opportunity to create something special in SoMo area.

**Q11 Do you have any comments on Priority 3 - Transport choices?**

Long Text

Excellent priorities. Suggest that ChCh South Karamata school also needs improved pedestrian crossing facilities.

**Q12 Do you have any comments on Priority 4 - Parks and recreational amenities?**

Long Text

**Q13 Do you have any comments on Priority 5 - Activation of Ōmōkihi and surrounds?**

Long Text

Very excited for Ōmōkihi to open! I support all these initiatives.

**Q14 Do you have any comments on Priority 6 - Community resilience?**

Long Text

Vital to see these initiatives implemented.

**Q15 Overall, do you support the six priorities proposed? If not, what would you change?**

Long Text

I strongly support all initiatives that increase the safety of and the number of cycle- and pedestrian- ways, better public transport, and improving and increasing revegetation in reserves.

**Q2 Name of organisation/business**

Short Text

**Q4 Full name**

Short Text

Maureen McCloy

**Q8 Do you have any comments on the draft vision?**

Long Text

Looks pretty good and I am sure the community does want the area to be a great place to live work and play. Although, my eyes stopped at the adjective "productive" and I am still thinking about what you mean by this. It sounds more like a factory output rather than what the Community Board care for and are passionate about.

**Q9 Do you have any comments on Priority 1 - Ki uta ki tai From the hills to the sea?**

Long Text

These proposed actions are indeed priorities. Erosion and sediment management is critically important and complex. I am still unclear why houses are being built in floodplains around the bottom of the Port Hills. Yes, it rained a lot earlier this year, but it could have rained even more and may well do in the future. The flood water was only just contained.

**Q10 Do you have any comments on Priority 2 - Response to intensification?**

Long Text

This a sounds good. Regarding the roundabout at the bottom of Dyer's Pass: there's something just not right with it. The recent work on it has helped but it's still a problem. It's like there's not sufficient room especially in the section between Centaurus and Dyer's Pass Roads so the traffic doesn't flow properly. There seems to be more traffic trying to get off the hill and around this roundabout each day so it gets very congested at those busy times. I don't have any useful suggestions regarding the best solution for this.

**Q11 Do you have any comments on Priority 3 - Transport choices?**

Long Text

Very supportive of providing transport choices including cycle ways, safer footpaths and pedestrian crossings, and public transport. That roundabout at Wilsons/Centaurus is also scary to ride around on your bike.

**Q12 Do you have any comments on Priority 4 - Parks and recreational amenities?**

Long Text

Yes very supportive of these proposals for enhancing the natural environment in parks and increasing recreational opportunities to help residents' wellbeing.

**Q13 Do you have any comments on Priority 5 - Activation of Ōmōkihi and surrounds?**

Long Text

Yes, very supportive of the development here as a community hub. Can't wait to go to the new Library!

**Q14 Do you have any comments on Priority 6 - Community resilience?**

Long Text

Rooftop solar and battery storage should be on all Council and community facilities - to support greater energy resilience. Why do we still have those pine trees at the Adventure Park? This is such a huge risk for the community. Can the Council buy the land from McVicars? I also made a comment earlier about all these new houses being built on flood plains. No way I'd buy a house there despite all the flood mitigation that's been undertaken. More intense rainstorms are on the way and these houses look very vulnerable.

**Q15 Overall, do you support the six priorities proposed? If not, what would you change?**

Long Text

I support the priorities. Although, thinking about climate change, is there any way to act beyond adaptation and advocate more in relation to the wider Council's efforts to reduce both its and the City's emissions?

**Q2 Name of organisation/business**

Short Text

**Q4 Full name**

Short Text

George Laxton

**Q8 Do you have any comments on the draft vision?**

Long Text

I like it, perhaps say something about vibrant, resilient and distinguished? Or connected? Connected community.

**Q9 Do you have any comments on Priority 1 - Ki uta ki tai From the hills to the sea?**

Long Text

Support the above

**Q10 Do you have any comments on Priority 2 - Response to intensification?**

Long Text

Support advocating for intensification, it's important to grow our city up not out as it gives more opportunity for cycling, walking and public transport to be the main forms of transportation. If we build out we will just create more car dependency and requirement for people to drive everywhere increasing time driving everywhere and increasing emissions.

**Q11 Do you have any comments on Priority 3 - Transport choices?**

Long Text

Create a cycle connection along Edinburgh Street and install safe crossings at Lyttelton Street - Yes please what a great idea!  
Provide a direct crossing point for cyclists at the Milton Street to Simeon Street cycleway -  
The current crossing that was installed recently is great and it makes crossing here so much easier than before, but there is no connection along Simeon street between Roker street and Milton street. With the planned Simeon street cycleway I hope that this last section will also be looked at.  
Increase and improve cycle parking and end-of-trip facilities, particularly at sporting grounds, outside businesses and at the bottom of the hills - Yes please, too many places I go to don't have a place to securely lock my bike, especially outside public toilets and shops. Sometimes it's also hard to know where the closest one.  
Seek safety improvements to the roundabout at St Martins Road/Wilsons Road - Saint Martins Road the whole section between the two round about. 24 St Martins Road to 83 St Martins Road should be looked at I was almost hit and knocked off my bike outside 70 St Martins Road (around there) and then received abuse from the driver to 'get off the road' and a bunch of expletives. I now come from the other direction to avoid that section, but I still have to go this way because Poppies Preschool is on the corner. This whole road is dangerous and for parents dropping their children off (many like me who do it on bikes) it needs some safety improvements here. I also agree the round about is in need of improvements as well. Some sharrows would be a quick fix to indicate to drivers to allow bikes to take the lane here, but shallow speed bumps like the ones outside 4 Wilsons Road South roundabout would much improve this intersection that is very busy.

**Q12 Do you have any comments on Priority 4 - Parks and recreational amenities?**

Long Text

Advocate for nature, recreation and non-structured play elements in parks - love this!  
Improve tracks, walkways, spaces to play and natural features of the Board area - A lot of tracks are great cut throughs, but are not accessible to most people due to being narrow, uneven and bumpy. Additionally ensuring that alleyways and a kept swept back and clear does wonders. I snap send solve whenever I see one that needs work, but some proactive trimming and clearing would also be great.

**Q13 Do you have any comments on Priority 5 - Activation of Ōmōkihi and surrounds?**

Long Text

This all sounds great!  
Support Ōmōkihi to establish as a community-based solar hub and emergency centre  
Install solar panels and a battery for long-term power cost reduction, supporting local demand management and electricity back-up in emergencies - This especially so! Fantastic idea, and will save money in the long run too! Great to see good investments been made. 100% support this!

**Q14 Do you have any comments on Priority 6 - Community resilience?**

Long Text All the above looks great! Don't have anything to add apart from this is very important work to be done so please do it well.

**Q15 Overall, do you support the six priorities proposed? If not, what would you change?**

Long Text I'd put community resilience as 4.  
put Parks and recreational amenities as 5.  
Activation of Ōmōkihi and surrounds as 6.

I think resilience is very important especially with climate change.

**Q2 Name of organisation/business**

Short Text

**Q4 Full name**

Short Text

Mary O'Connor

**Q8 Do you have any comments on the draft vision?**

Long Text

Add "for all ages and abilities" or something similar. Need to consider if you choose to do something for one group that you consider the possible impact, positive or negative, that this might have on others.

**Q9 Do you have any comments on Priority 1 - Ki uta ki tai From the hills to the sea?**

Long Text

I don't know if one exists, as CCC does not seem to have a ready available list of all management plans for parks and reserves, but if there is a management plan encompassing all CCC Parks and Reserves in the Port Hills, or a Management Plan encompassing all the Port Hills this should be a priority. If it exists, would be good to know where to access it, and how frequently it is updated.

There needs to be urgent management of Opawaho Heathcote River to control the sediment in it - just like a drain now.

I am confused with regard to environmental weeds on CCC land, as thought there was a blanket ban on removing vegetation. But some of these environmental weeds also exist in resident's gardens. It would be helpful if CCC would lead on documentation on common environmental weeds in the Community Board area, so residents can identify them and remove from their properties or CCC land if permitted. Also the best option for removal - if prevent dispersal of seeds will the parent plant die naturally in time (annual, biannual) or if roots must be dug out, or chemical means necessary, .... And if it is a new environmental weed in the Community Board area to communicate that to residents to notify when seen, for the few occurrences to be removed before it becomes more widespread.

**Q10 Do you have any comments on Priority 2 - Response to intensification?**

Long Text

There needs to be more information for all of these to be able to comment, except for 1. More detail needed.

Why is Kainga Ora new built housing at a much lower density than what developers are permitted to build? If the government plans for social housing is less dense, why are developers permitted to cram the maximum number of flats into a similar size land area? Better to advocate for a plan change to support liveable housing options, rather than the present tightly packed flats.

A priority response to intensification needs to include improved footpaths -

- 1) where once there were one or two vehicles crossing the footpath from a property, now there can be 8!
- 2) there are more 2m high fences next to the footpath, because behind it is the front flat's small private outside space, so collusion with pedestrians and exiting vehicles due to reduced visibility affects safety of pedestrians on footpaths.
- 3) some developers build the height of the ground level higher than previously, causing the footpaths to be built at the greater camber, for the driveway, and also for the width of the section. Consequently, the footpaths are at unacceptably steep sideways camber.
- 4) The increasing number of bins make negotiating the footpath on bin day challenging, that with increased intensification, the number of bins will continue to increase.
- 5) During development, fencing often encroaches on footpaths, gates are often across footpaths and the bases of fences on footpaths become trip hazards.
- 6) There are an increasing number of boxes for electricity and other services placed on footpaths, often with gaps behind them.
- 7) Intensification, by necessity resulting in new footpaths should have included an improved environment for pedestrians, but the reverse is the outcome. Pedestrian-friendly footpath design as a part of intensification should have resulted in the footpath in front of these properties being level, with no sideways camber outside the new properties or up and down for every driveway. Pedestrian-friendly materials could also have replaced asphalt.

**Q11 Do you have any comments on Priority 3 - Transport choices?**

Long Text

Can you please replace "walking" with "pedestrian" in "Why does it matter?" Pedestrians included those that run and jog as well as walkers, yet by referring to "walking" you totally ignore them.

The pedestrian infrastructure needs a totally different approach to consider all pedestrians and to design for pedestrian comfort. Pedestrian infrastructure needs to be considered for its health benefits as much as for transport

from a to b. Permeable materials are needed to eliminate the need for camber and driveway crossings need to be at the same level as the rest of the footpath. There is a need for a pedestrian network which would be best to follow the bus network, so these means of transport can be combined in the same journey. There is also seating and shelter already provided. Better pedestrian provision would result in better opportunities for commuting on foot and considering running from a larger area.

There needs to be pedestrian-only paths away from wheeled devices particularly in natural spaces that are better for mental health too. This would allow small children to wander and explore and for elderly people and disabled pedestrians to go at their comfortable pace.

I note that on roads like Dyers Pass and Hackthorne Road that have steep uphill/downhill also have virtually no camber as the water runs down the road. Hence, the edge of these roads is a good surface for pedestrians when compared to the camber of the footpaths to their side having a camber throughout with the driveways being more of a camber the newer the houses. Why can these footpaths not be designed without camber and have the driveways cross them at no camber and the same height as the rest of the footpath. Additionally, softer permeable surfaces will also help protect muscles and joints.

Can you please advocate, with the introduction of tap in - tap out for free travel on buses between the bus stops either side of Brougham Street as pedestrian safety is not guaranteed when pedestrians are held in the cages in the middle of Brougham Street. It is identified that these cages do not protect pedestrians if they are hit by a truck. The Brougham Bridge will not be safe or convenient for use by many pedestrians.

Some of these actions appear to have already occurred or be in progress - Milton Street crossing at Simeon Street appears to be for pedestrians as well as cyclists.

After chip sealing can roads be better swept. Sometimes this may require manual sweeping. Ernlea Tce was chop sealed years ago but there are still chips in the roadside grass. Valley Road and Selwyn Street also need better sweeping.

**Q12 Do you have any comments on Priority 4 - Parks and recreational amenities?**

Long Text

What is the problem with the gravel pathway at Barrington Park? There is no need to asphalt it and all that will do is drain more water onto the grass, increasing the time the grass is waterlogged.

The women's toilet at Somerfield Park just needs to have all the internal walls removed and a solid door installed to make it personally safe to use.

Please retain the toilets at the base of Rapaki Track - running down Rapaki often results in needing a toilet at the bottom. An outward opening door needs providing asap.

Some tracks and natural spaces need to be for pedestrians-only with the only permitted devices being pushed by pedestrians. This would not exclude anyone - they can leave their transport devices and be a pedestrian. An example being Ernle Clark Reserve - there are other alternative road options for cyclists and e-devices and if they go through the reserve, they are quickly through there. Plus, the path is really too narrow for them. Whereas for pedestrians this is the only non-asphalt path in the area, which could also be much improved with the removal of the loose shingle if it was pedestrian-only with the only wheels being pushed by a pedestrian. Exercising in nature has greater mental health benefits than in the urban environment. In summer the trees make the path cooler and out of winds and in winter the cold winds are avoided, making it a pleasant environment all year around. There are also many benches to sit and enjoy nature.

**Q13 Do you have any comments on Priority 5 - Activation of Ōmōkihi and surrounds?**

Long Text

It would be a great space between Omokihi building and the Heathcote if this was just an area for people with no dogs, cyclists or e-scooters. People would then be able to enjoy the space at a lower pace and also know that they could sit on the grass that didn't have dog urine.

**Q14 Do you have any comments on Priority 6 - Community resilience?**

Long Text

All good

**Q15 Overall, do you support the six priorities proposed? If not, what would you change?**

Long Text

I would add think before you add asphalt and other solid non-permeable materials. Sydneham Cemetery is a disgrace with being flooded every winter especially since the unnecessary asphaltting of more of the paths. There was obviously no drainage added and all rain falling on these paths drains onto the graves.

A comment about this consultation. If by mistake someone, as I did, typed Control C, instead of Shift C, all the already typed response on that screen is deleted. Please use better options that allow undo.

It would also be better to be able to display all the typed text rather than just a few lines.

**Q2 Name of organisation/business**

Short Text

**Q4 Full name**

Short Text

Fiona 'Fox' Bennetts

**Q8 Do you have any comments on the draft vision?**

Long Text

**Q9 Do you have any comments on Priority 1 - Ki uta ki tai From the hills to the sea?**

Long Text

Fully agree with this priority.  
Also note the influence of car brakes and other particulates related to transport getting into rivers, which could be reduced by measures which encourage public and active transport.

**Q10 Do you have any comments on Priority 2 - Response to intensification?**

Long Text

Fully support this mahi.  
Pocket parks and reserves which create green spaces and short-cuts for active transport are a good way to break up intensive housing.  
More trees and less on-street parking helps provide shade and creates a slower speed environment with green space bonuses.  
Modal filters could be used to reduce rat-running, e.g. along the Nor'West Arc MCR down Palmside/Woodbank/Ferniehurst Streets.  
Rose Street needs to be slowed down - a permanent 40 km/h speed limit please.  
Please consider re-aligning the eastern end of Somerfield St to meet with Tennyson St instead of intersecting with Strickland St before hitting Colombo St.  
SoMo needs bicycle parking, e.g. Hawdon Street around #76.

**Q11 Do you have any comments on Priority 3 - Transport choices?**

Long Text

Fully support this priority!!!  
If we can't get more 30 km/h safe speed neighbourhoods, could we at least get some more 40 km/h safer speed areas?  
Continuous bus lanes up Colombo Street would really help both buses and cyclists.

**Q12 Do you have any comments on Priority 4 - Parks and recreational amenities?**

Long Text

Fully support this priority.  
Please also provide staple-shaped bicycle parking and push-scooter parking at play space facilities.

**Q13 Do you have any comments on Priority 5 - Activation of Ōmōkihi and surrounds?**

Long Text

Fully support this priority.  
Please provide lots of bicycle and push-scooter parking on multiple sides of Ōmōkihi for the library and market activities.

**Q14 Do you have any comments on Priority 6 - Community resilience?**

Long Text

Fully support this priority.  
Reducing motor vehicle access along summit road may also help.

**Q15 Overall, do you support the six priorities proposed? If not, what would you change?**

Long Text

Yes, overall I think the priorities are fantastic.  
The only thing I can't see is cultural facilities beyond Ōmōkihi. Are there adequate spaces with appropriate facilities available for hire for etc? Are there outdoor amphitheatres and the like?

**Q2 Name of organisation/business**

Short Text

**Q4 Full name**

Short Text

Robbie Blakelock

**Q8 Do you have any comments on the draft vision?**

Long Text

Is there a way of also including good outcomes for whenua, not focusing only on people? For example "... advocates for the local land and community...". I'd personally say "...whenua and whānau..."

I'm not sure about the word "distinguished." Does this mean "distinct" i.e. we're not trying to be anyone/anywhere else? If that's the case, maybe use a different word.

**Q9 Do you have any comments on Priority 1 - Ki uta ki tai From the hills to the sea?**

Long Text

As well as these practical things, is the Board able to also do something around raising awareness of people living further from the river that we are in the catchment? Wouldn't it be amazing if there was a collective sense of place/location across the area based around connection to Ōpāwaho! If we all understood ourselves in relation to that river.

**Q10 Do you have any comments on Priority 2 - Response to intensification?**

Long Text

Look for opportunities for more innovative development to anchor community alongside intensification, and look at the development of land - especially Council sites - as a network of community assets rather than individual sites to maximise narrow outcomes (e.g. housing units). For example the Andrews Cres. site has the potential for co-housing development alongside social housing, partnered with the kura and Te Waerenga to support the wider community and social housing network.

Advocate for MoE to purchase more land rather than just building multi-storey classrooms and jamming more kids into the same outdoor play area. For example, Addington te Kura Taumatua is adjacent to a two-storey development on Brougham Street.

Advocate for community assets and facilities that support intensification/higher density living (some of this is covered in the other priorities) e.g. Cycle lanes, community gardens, bus stops, reduced speeds on roads (and other traffic speed mitigation measures). Also commercial developments (that are supported by the community i.e. not more bottle stores). Are there opportunities for low-cost old commercial buildings to be repurposed into modern commercial spaces? How can people do more living and working in the area they live in? I'm not sure what you can do in this space other than advocate (thanks!).

Investment in initiatives that connect people is important too. We can navigate a lot of the tensions the arise through a shift in people density when we know our neighbours and feel connected to them.

Also is there anything we could do/advocate for around rental tenancy/commercial investment into medium-density properties to get greater home ownership and therefore lower transience in our community?

**Q11 Do you have any comments on Priority 3 - Transport choices?**

Long Text

Yes. Love the continual development of the cycling network. We have a separated cycle lane in front of our house and it is busy with commuters everyday - but I know that it isn't fully connected in to other areas, and it does limit the use of it during weekends by less confident cyclists and families.

I'm a big supporter of low speed areas around primary schools. The reduction in speed at the coronation st/selwyn street intersection and other improvements have helped, but it's still a bit dodgy crossing there. Anything more we can do to signal to drivers that it is a low-speed "village" area would help. The raised bridge across Brougham St is going to be a game-changer for kids attending Addington Te Kura Taumatua!

I'm also mindful of the appeal of Parakiore - how well is this integrated into access plans for our area i.e. routes for walking and cycling and mobility scooters etc etc.

**Q12 Do you have any comments on Priority 4 - Parks and recreational amenities?**

Long Text

The initiation and potential for expansion of the Addington Park garden space cannot be understated! I'd love to see the whole park eventually turned into a beautiful restful space with a focus on edibles etc etc. Church Square isn't

suitable for young kids to hang out/play in, and so making Addington Park more engaging would be fantastic. Also more play equipment for different ages etc etc across all the parks would be fantastic. There's plenty for young kids but not as much for older primary school kids, they end up having to go to the school to play, which can require crossing Brougham Street.

**Q13 Do you have any comments on Priority 5 - Activation of Ōmōkihi and surrounds?**

Long Text Despite Ōmōkihi being some distance away from us in Addington, it has been a key facility for us especially with young kids. I'm not sure what the bicycle access will be like for those of us in Addington, but I think that there could be an excellent triangle route from Addington to Ōmōkihi, along the river, and then back up Simeon Street (etc) to the city. Imagine making this work for an intermediate aged kid on the bus or bike.

**Q14 Do you have any comments on Priority 6 - Community resilience?**

Long Text I think this is really important. I'm personally interested in Community Emergency Hubs at existing facilities where they also do activities that build relational connections between people and with that place. I'm aware that Ngāi Tahu have been doing work around AF8 (Alpine Fault) resilience with high-capacity pods located around the country and I'm wondering what we could do in an urban setting. There are also a huge number of council/social housing developments in and around our area, and across the whole board's area too. How might we leverage these centrally-owned assets to increase community resilience? See my previous comments about more innovative developments of these sites - instead of just doing social housing, including a co-housing area with a shared building/space that is explicitly part of the community/collective resilience and emergency plan would be amazing. We have no urban marae in our area - how might we look to those models to establish community resilience and facilities that perform a functional purpose all the time (bringing people together, creating opportunities for learning, supporting community gathering) while also being an immense resource (practically and relationally) in emergencies? Could these come under the community board from a governance perspective?

**Q15 Overall, do you support the six priorities proposed? If not, what would you change?**

Long Text I think these are great. I'd love to see an explicit inclusion of how these serve our kids so that we maintain an intergenerational mindset. I'd also like to see a thread that includes care for/interest in the literal land/earth (as well as the river/water) that makes up our communities. We talk about people, and we talk about the rivers, but can we also talk about the earth?

**Q2 Name of organisation/business**

Short Text

**Q4 Full name**

Short Text Louise Shand

**Q8 Do you have any comments on the draft vision?**

Long Text

**Q9 Do you have any comments on Priority 1 - Ki uta ki tai From the hills to the sea?**

Long Text

**Q10 Do you have any comments on Priority 2 - Response to intensification?**

Long Text An education priority feels inappropriate for a council board with limited time. Surely this is more appropriate for a minister.  
Limited mention of partnership with grassroots community groups is a concern to me.  
"Living streets" are great but there are better ways- this only supports one street. Spreydon deserves a whole suburb approach and this one idea feels a little token to me.

**Q11 Do you have any comments on Priority 3 - Transport choices?**

Long Text No mention of ensuring safe cycle ways to school for those living in zone. It is a nightmare to get from Beckenham to cashmere high currently.

**Q12 Do you have any comments on Priority 4 - Parks and recreational amenities?**

Long Text We need the board to back the planning for a youth area and enhanced bike pump track (in the long term plan) next to the south library. This is highly needed to engage older children and teenagers. Lots of kids try and use this space with little luck.  
Love the rest- we DO need more spaces for less traditional places for families and children to hang out and play

**Q13 Do you have any comments on Priority 5 - Activation of Ōmōkihi and surrounds?**

Long Text This is such an important facility for young ones to hang out. It would be awesome to know they have your full support to engage with this space in a way that helps them feel they belong right from the opening

**Q14 Do you have any comments on Priority 6 - Community resilience?**

Long Text

**Q15 Overall, do you support the six priorities proposed? If not, what would you change?**

Long Text I would like to see a little more mention of seeking community partnerships not just those that are well established and funded but the lesser known and resourced groups and informal community opinions. They are harder to reach but crucial I believe.  
I'd like to see an understanding that long term changes rely on bringing out young people on the journey and respecting they need things we don't always understand yet. For example they should be encouraged to know about the river and be allowed to be involved there, they need the board to see opportunities for local kids to learn as they are our future locals who will continue to protect this area if given the chance to learn from an early age.

**Q2 Name of organisation/business**

Short Text

**Q4 Full name**

Short Text

Keri Hodgman

**Q8 Do you have any comments on the draft vision?**

Long Text

**Q9 Do you have any comments on Priority 1 - Ki uta ki tai From the hills to the sea?**

Long Text

The Heathcote starts well before the congruence with the Cashmere River. Several kilometres of the river upstream of this congruence fall within the Board's area. Council land borders some of this region of the river with activities on the Council's side contributing to diminishing water health.

The OHRN have reported to me that their focus covers the section of river from the Cashmere Stream to the estuary and the pest management focus covers the same area.

The Board's priority does not align to the Board's full region. I don't support this priority as presently written as it puts the needs / wants of one part of the community above other parts of the community.

**Q10 Do you have any comments on Priority 2 - Response to intensification?**

Long Text

I support all of these initiatives. What id also note is the new plan around housing intensification will continue to significantly impact secondary education provision in South west Christchurch. Hillmorton High School's role permanent building capacity is 1,000 students. With the planned intensification in south west Christchurch plus additional housing development imminent in Halswell and opposite Aidanfield (outside of the Board region) the Board should support efforts for a high school in the Halswell area

**Q11 Do you have any comments on Priority 3 - Transport choices?**

Long Text

Support all of these initiatives. On school safety, safe crossing points at Mathers / Tankerville Road is urgently required.

**Q12 Do you have any comments on Priority 4 - Parks and recreational amenities?**

Long Text

All supported

**Q13 Do you have any comments on Priority 5 - Activation of Ōmōkihi and surrounds?**

Long Text

All supported

**Q14 Do you have any comments on Priority 6 - Community resilience?**

Long Text

All supported

**Q15 Overall, do you support the six priorities proposed? If not, what would you change?**

Long Text

Yes, however the geospatial spread of the initiatives doesn't appear to be particularly balanced

**Q2 Name of organisation/business**

Short Text

**Q4 Full name**

Short Text

Stephen Judd

**Q8 Do you have any comments on the draft vision?**

Long Text

**Q9 Do you have any comments on Priority 1 - Ki uta ki tai From the hills to the sea?**

Long Text

Would like to note the importance of managing sediment as supporting other goals around resilience: more sediment = more flooding. Likewise many of our recreational areas have waterways running through them and improvement of the waterway improves their amenity value.

**Q10 Do you have any comments on Priority 2 - Response to intensification?**

Long Text

We know that car parking around intensified areas is a sore point. I'd like to see a link to transport: good alternatives to driving are what make it possible to not have a car. Also would like to see support for commercial activity in residential streets - as more people live close together, neighbourhood shops, cafes and other amenities become more viable and improve daily life, we should make sure that's not hard to make happen.

**Q11 Do you have any comments on Priority 3 - Transport choices?**

Long Text

Fully support.

Would like to see continued focus on the headache of Barrington Mall - its entrances and exits are highly problematic for people whether on foot, bicycle or in a car.

**Q12 Do you have any comments on Priority 4 - Parks and recreational amenities?**

Long Text

Particularly support work on paths in Barrington Park which are frequently flooded.

**Q13 Do you have any comments on Priority 5 - Activation of Ōmōkihi and surrounds?**

Long Text

**Q14 Do you have any comments on Priority 6 - Community resilience?**

Long Text

**Q15 Overall, do you support the six priorities proposed? If not, what would you change?**

Long Text

Strongly support.

**Q2 Name of organisation/business**

Short Text

**Q4 Full name**

Short Text

Jack Bisset

**Q8 Do you have any comments on the draft vision?**

Long Text

I support this vision

**Q9 Do you have any comments on Priority 1 - Ki uta ki tai From the hills to the sea?**

Long Text

Yes support these 7 actions. This is important to the ongoing vitality of the area in the context of a changed, and changing climate.

**Q10 Do you have any comments on Priority 2 - Response to intensification?**

Long Text

Yes I support these 7 actions. Specifically:

1) Yes I support this idea. However, it urgently requires more detail.

4) This is a highly important idea. At present as a family we make a lot of use of Waltham Pool. Despite living very close, we make no use whatsoever of the playground there or the skating pool and/or basketball court. Suggest that a problem is the types of people using all three are very different groups who do not wish to be in such close proximity. Suggest removing the skate pool given the proximity of Washington Park and inaccessibility of such a deep pool for anyone not expert. The basketball court could also be improved, it is very windy and exposed there. If it were also part of the village project, suggest considering how to have it more sheltered and how to improve the surface to be softer and more suitable for children. Suggest that an integrated playground sounds great but careful thought needs to be given to the access over winter months. Something inside Waltham pool facility sounds attractive.

**Q11 Do you have any comments on Priority 3 - Transport choices?**

Long Text

Support all these actions.

4) agree, and at Waltham pool this could be improved as there's a hard to see small rack outside then a better one inside. Suggest improving the one inside.

6) should be higher priority. This roundabout is very dangerous to walk across at the roundabout of St Marins/Wilsons. We use this four times every day. At almost all the corners they are effectively blind. Cars travel very fast and so you sometimes do not have enough time to cross and need to crane your neck the entire time. Very dangerous and we have had many near misses here with our 4 year old. There needs to be a zebra crossing or similar on at least two of the roads.

**Q12 Do you have any comments on Priority 4 - Parks and recreational amenities?**

Long Text

Agree and support.

**Q13 Do you have any comments on Priority 5 - Activation of Ōmōkihi and surrounds?**

Long Text

Agree and support.

**Q14 Do you have any comments on Priority 6 - Community resilience?**

Long Text

Agree and support.

Suggest including iwi and businesses in the area in scope of this discussion.

7) should be expanded to include residential and commercial facilities. It should be made much more ambitious, in partnership with the community group, Rewiring Christchurch and other interested stakeholders. This is about economic and energy resilience too.

**Q15 Overall, do you support the six priorities proposed? If not, what would you change?**

Long Text Yes.

Suggest that 6 needs to be shifted to be above 3.

Thank

**Q2 Name of organisation/business**

Short Text

**Q4 Full name**

Short Text

Brigette Bilbe

**Q8 Do you have any comments on the draft vision?**

Long Text

**Q9 Do you have any comments on Priority 1 - Ki uta ki tai From the hills to the sea?**

Long Text

Is there any scope to create a similar programme to Wellington's "Predator Free Wellington" project? I know many people have cats but there are also many stray cats. A programme to educate people about cats could be worthwhile.  
The work of the Summit Road Society is invaluable. How can they be better supported to do the work that they do?

**Q10 Do you have any comments on Priority 2 - Response to intensification?**

Long Text

Educating people to take public transport and cycle more often would be good. Adding to this is ensuring that there is effective and reliable public transport in these areas as well as decent cycleways.

**Q11 Do you have any comments on Priority 3 - Transport choices?**

Long Text

As mentioned in number 2! I cycle a lot and I make sure I avoid the roundabout at the bottom of Dyers Pass Road. Please do not put any more of those kinds of roundabouts in. Not only are they difficult for cyclists to negotiate, (try taking off from Cashmere Road to do a right turn in a hurry when it's slightly uphill) it is also dangerous for drivers and pedestrians. Suddenly having pedestrian crossings at the exits has seen many a near miss.

**Q12 Do you have any comments on Priority 4 - Parks and recreational amenities?**

Long Text

These are good ideas. I particularly support improving access and facilities at the base of the Rāpaki Track. It would possibly be safer to have Rapaki Road for residents only and encourage people to park closer to Hansens Park if they want to use the track. Often when I am biking up, I have to get on to the footpath (I have to dismount as most of the footpaths have large guttering) when people are driving down hill and not aware of how to give way to oncoming traffic, including cyclists.

**Q13 Do you have any comments on Priority 5 - Activation of Ōmōkihi and surrounds?**

Long Text

Sounds great!

**Q14 Do you have any comments on Priority 6 - Community resilience?**

Long Text

Are we planting the right trees for fire resistance? I notice a lot of pines in the hills and these burn really well. Maybe some species of native, though slower growing, are more resilient in the long run.

**Q15 Overall, do you support the six priorities proposed? If not, what would you change?**

Long Text

These are good priorities. I notice there is not much mention of water and waste. I wonder how resilient are these systems in Christchurch and if they are already included in the listed priorities.

**Q2 Name of organisation/business**

Short Text

**Q4 Full name**

Short Text

Sarah Stewart

**Q8 Do you have any comments on the draft vision?**

Long Text

Great vision - especially like the specificity.

**Q9 Do you have any comments on Priority 1 - Ki uta ki tai From the hills to the sea?**

Long Text

Agree these are significant priorities

**Q10 Do you have any comments on Priority 2 - Response to intensification?**

Long Text

Sounds good

**Q11 Do you have any comments on Priority 3 - Transport choices?**

Long Text

Safer streets for all road users and transport modes needs to keep growing. I support what you have planned.

**Q12 Do you have any comments on Priority 4 - Parks and recreational amenities?**

Long Text

Good to hear

**Q13 Do you have any comments on Priority 5 - Activation of Ōmōkihi and surrounds?**

Long Text

All amazing!

**Q14 Do you have any comments on Priority 6 - Community resilience?**

Long Text

Super important for continued life in south Christchurch

**Q15 Overall, do you support the six priorities proposed? If not, what would you change?**

Long Text

Yes, support them.

**Q2 Name of organisation/business**

Short Text

**Q4 Full name**

Short Text

Jo Robertson

**Q8 Do you have any comments on the draft vision?**

Long Text

I don't really think the word distinguished describes a community I'd like to live in. Sounds almost the opposite of vibrant. I want to live in a happy, inclusive, and fun community.

**Q9 Do you have any comments on Priority 1 - Ki uta ki tai From the hills to the sea?**

Long Text

I really agree with pest and weed management throughout the area. Supporting volunteers is great. Managing flooding of the Ōpāwaho is very important.

**Q10 Do you have any comments on Priority 2 - Response to intensification?**

Long Text

I've been part of the Neighbourhood Building Project in Addington. It would be great to see similar things throughout the Board area. I would like to see all housing developers contribute to the upgrading of local parks, as the new housing does not have back yard spaces. In some areas of Spreydon (eg between Neville and Edinburgh sts), there should be provision for new park areas as housing intensification increases dramatically.

**Q11 Do you have any comments on Priority 3 - Transport choices?**

Long Text

All very positive. Support safe crossings at Lyttleton st. More bike parks would be appreciated.

**Q12 Do you have any comments on Priority 4 - Parks and recreational amenities?**

Long Text

Fabulous. Non-structured play elements would be a fantastic addition to our parks. Really like what's going on in Addington Park with the community garden and I support the idea of new toilets there. Play equipment for older kids and teenagers would be beneficial in many parks. Look at the success of the Addington Basketball hoop. How about some outdoor bouldering equipment?

**Q13 Do you have any comments on Priority 5 - Activation of Ōmōkihi and surrounds?**

Long Text

Sounds great. I loved the old South Library and look forward to the new one.

**Q14 Do you have any comments on Priority 6 - Community resilience?**

Long Text

Continuing with efforts to increase canopy cover throughout the board area would also help.

**Q15 Overall, do you support the six priorities proposed? If not, what would you change?**

Long Text

Yes, I support these.

**Q2 Name of organisation/business**

Short Text

**Q4 Full name**

Short Text

Amy Lawson

**Q8 Do you have any comments on the draft vision?**

Long Text

Would love to see the word interconnected in there. A distinguished area is an okay thing to strive for, but if people don't have connections and feel settled they're less likely to stay.

**Q9 Do you have any comments on Priority 1 - Ki uta ki tai From the hills to the sea?**

Long Text

Sounds great.

**Q10 Do you have any comments on Priority 2 - Response to intensification?**

Long Text

Sounds great. Maybe something around parking on the streets? Especially on Neville St. With the new apartments there are more cars parked on the side of the road and cars still roar down Neville St at least 60kmph. (Despite speed changes)

**Q11 Do you have any comments on Priority 3 - Transport choices?**

Long Text

Sounds good.

**Q12 Do you have any comments on Priority 4 - Parks and recreational amenities?**

Long Text

Sounds good.

**Q13 Do you have any comments on Priority 5 - Activation of Ōmōkihi and surrounds?**

Long Text

Great! Love the pump track idea.

**Q14 Do you have any comments on Priority 6 - Community resilience?**

Long Text

Good thinking.

**Q15 Overall, do you support the six priorities proposed? If not, what would you change?**

Long Text

Yes, sounds appropriate.

**Q2 Name of organisation/business**

Short Text

**Q4 Full name**

Short Text

James Beck

**Q8 Do you have any comments on the draft vision?**

Long Text

Love it

**Q9 Do you have any comments on Priority 1 - Ki uta ki tai From the hills to the sea?**

Long Text

This is really important!

**Q10 Do you have any comments on Priority 2 - Response to intensification?**

Long Text

This is really important. Developing transport infrastructure is essential, especially connecting Halswell and Westmorland to the city.

**Q11 Do you have any comments on Priority 3 - Transport choices?**

Long Text

Connecting Westmorland is so important!

**Q12 Do you have any comments on Priority 4 - Parks and recreational amenities?**

Long Text

We also need to ways to connect Te Kuru and the Hendersons road wetland to good pedestrian access

**Q13 Do you have any comments on Priority 5 - Activation of Ōmōkihi and surrounds?**

Long Text

Making sure that this is connected to the regeneration of the river plantings is also important.

**Q14 Do you have any comments on Priority 6 - Community resilience?**

Long Text

We need to adapt to climate change even faster than we are currently.

**Q15 Overall, do you support the six priorities proposed? If not, what would you change?**

Long Text

This is really exciting!

**Q2 Name of organisation/business**

Short Text

**Q4 Full name**

Short Text James Thomas

**Q8 Do you have any comments on the draft vision?**

Long Text

**Q9 Do you have any comments on Priority 1 - Ki uta ki tai From the hills to the sea?**

Long Text

**Q10 Do you have any comments on Priority 2 - Response to intensification?**

Long Text

**Q11 Do you have any comments on Priority 3 - Transport choices?**

Long Text

**Q12 Do you have any comments on Priority 4 - Parks and recreational amenities?**

Long Text Implement Tarata Reserve Proposed Track between Kiteroa Place and Shalamar Drive for bikes

**Q13 Do you have any comments on Priority 5 - Activation of Ōmōkihi and surrounds?**

Long Text

**Q14 Do you have any comments on Priority 6 - Community resilience?**

Long Text

**Q15 Overall, do you support the six priorities proposed? If not, what would you change?**

Long Text

**Q2 Name of organisation/business**

Short Text

**Q4 Full name**

Short Text

Shaun Boshier

**Q8 Do you have any comments on the draft vision?**

Long Text

Slogans are just that, it's the decisions behind it that mean more

**Q9 Do you have any comments on Priority 1 - Ki uta ki tai From the hills to the sea?**

Long Text

That's fine, but why can't we implement some nets on stormwater outlet pipes that fall directly into our streams. Quite commonly done in Australian cities. The netting fills up with rubbish rather than entering the environment. The netting can be removed and rubbish taken away with a new net installed to collect more rubbish. Amazes me that we still don't do this in NZ

**Q10 Do you have any comments on Priority 2 - Response to intensification?**

Long Text

With all this intensification, what is being done to improve the stormwater system? As single dwelling on a section is bowled over and replaced with 5-7 townhouses, any soakage that used to occur on lawn and garden is then replaced with concrete driveways and buildings with maybe a cursory bit of astro turf for each house. All these impermeable surfaces now force more runoff into the stormwater system. Why aren't we thinking of managing that? It's just going to make flooding much worse as we intensify

**Q11 Do you have any comments on Priority 3 - Transport choices?**

Long Text

That all sounds pretty good. Not aware of safety issues at the St Martins roundabout, unless you are talking about improving pedestrian facilities given Hillview school is right there?  
It would be good to connect the cycleway on Tennyson St to the Major cycleway on Strickland St as well with more pronounced cycle facilities.  
Always fully support improving sight line improvements either for pedestrians or motorists. One thing that is always a problem for council is the wrong types of planting at pedestrian crossing points. Often it can be over a metre high and you cannot see smaller children who are waiting to cross.  
If doing new bus shelters, avoid using glass on them as at some point some clown will break it and then it just adds cost.

**Q12 Do you have any comments on Priority 4 - Parks and recreational amenities?**

Long Text

We need to advocate for grounds like Centennial to get a playing field upgrade so that winter sport can function better. A huge presence of football at that ground and halfway through the season it becomes a mud bath.  
  
Also, Barrington Park is a disaster!! The longer northeastern end of the park floods even when it's not torrential rain. The water has no where to go and the kids end up playing in water that is 1-2 inches deep which is just ridiculous. Some subsoil drainage is a priority here.  
  
Things like this help structured play occur, so don't just focus on non-structured play please. It should be focused on ensuring that those that rely on the ground for club games can actually play their sport in decent conditions. The state of some grounds in winter is terrible. Please make that a focus and align with the council's park strategy.

**Q13 Do you have any comments on Priority 5 - Activation of Ōmōkihi and surrounds?**

Long Text

That all sounds great

**Q14 Do you have any comments on Priority 6 - Community resilience?**

Long Text

I'd move #7 up the list higher. That is a meaningful change that would be helpful when power is lost. Picking the right buildings is key as not all of them need it. That would be over spending.  
The rest all sounds like good stuff for a community board to do at their level. Try and support gluing everything together.

**Q15 Overall, do you support the six priorities proposed? If not, what would you change?**

Long Text I do support the 6 priorities, but within each I've added other things that I hope are considered as well.

**Q2 Name of organisation/business**

Short Text

**Q4 Full name**

Short Text

Reuben Scott

**Q8 Do you have any comments on the draft vision?**

Long Text

Overall it is positive. I think including something about "a great place to live, work and play for all people" would help ensure that the audience of the area being a great place to be is clear.

**Q9 Do you have any comments on Priority 1 - Ki uta ki tai From the hills to the sea?**

Long Text

1. Can the scope of this include Spreydon's minor waterways and parks? Simeon Park is eager to have more support around Pest management.  
2. Same again - focus be wider then only the Port Hills.  
4. More education for volunteers would be appreciated.

Overall support all of these.

**Q10 Do you have any comments on Priority 2 - Response to intensification?**

Long Text

Support all of these. Interested in the types of housing that gets implemented - there is desire for more co-housing or affordable housing options in the community. This type of housing achieves the need of intensification but also increases social outcomes rather than traditional developments.

**Q11 Do you have any comments on Priority 3 - Transport choices?**

Long Text

Support fully. Need full consultation with Addington Te Kura Taumatua which I'm sure has been done.

**Q12 Do you have any comments on Priority 4 - Parks and recreational amenities?**

Long Text

Some clear prioritisation of Simeon Park within this would be appreciated.

**Q13 Do you have any comments on Priority 5 - Activation of Ōmōkihi and surrounds?**

Long Text

Support this fully.

**Q14 Do you have any comments on Priority 6 - Community resilience?**

Long Text

Addington Neighbourhood Association, Mānuka Cottage & Addington Te Kura Taumatua are considering options for Community Resilience projects. Mānuka Cottage would love a conversation around investment in rooftop solar and battery storage considering it is Council owned and a community hub. Other facilities (St Mary's and Addington Te Kura Taumatua) which are not council owned would be open to funding possibilities around solar or other civil defence planning.

**Q15 Overall, do you support the six priorities proposed? If not, what would you change?**

Long Text

Yes support. There is nothing explicit around Te Tiriti O Waitangi implementation or stimulation of community minded businesses in the community or community governance/leadership capacity (participation in community decision making), but I understand that you have to limit your priorities to not have too many.

**Q2 Name of organisation/business**

Short Text

**Q4 Full name**

Short Text

Nyker King

**Q8 Do you have any comments on the draft vision?**

Long Text

I am hoping for the board to push forth improvements on public transport options such as on-time buses, increased bus frequency, and increased road markings for pedestrian crossings.

**Q9 Do you have any comments on Priority 1 - Ki uta ki tai From the hills to the sea?**

Long Text

In line with preserving the ecosystem of native creatures living in the area, a thorough enforcement of dog control, especially when leashes are required, will be a big step in preventing disruptions.

**Q10 Do you have any comments on Priority 2 - Response to intensification?**

Long Text

Alongside the residential intensification in terms of human population, so as it is true for dog and cat population. Wherein most of the times, one can see them roaming around freely in the streets. This is not so with Wellington wherein they are very strict with it and condemns owners that let their pets roam freely.

**Q11 Do you have any comments on Priority 3 - Transport choices?**

Long Text

I am hoping for the board to push forth improvements on public transport options such as on-time buses, increased bus frequency, and increased road markings for pedestrian crossings. It would also be very helpful to extend the reach of our bus network. Because it is very limited. Advocate that some buses need not to traverse the CBD because doing so takes a long time before reaching the destination. Crossing Waltham Road corner Austin Street is difficult. Crossing Waltham Road corner Bunyan and Rogers Street is also dangerous when there's no road work going on.

**Q12 Do you have any comments on Priority 4 - Parks and recreational amenities?**

Long Text

Good move to have multi-purpose equipment. Increase hydration facilities in the parks will be very helpful for us runners.

**Q13 Do you have any comments on Priority 5 - Activation of Ōmōkihi and surrounds?**

Long Text

Good move

**Q14 Do you have any comments on Priority 6 - Community resilience?**

Long Text

**Q15 Overall, do you support the six priorities proposed? If not, what would you change?**

Long Text

Yes

**Q2 Name of organisation/business**

Short Text

**Q4 Full name**

Short Text

Arwen Sommer

**Q8 Do you have any comments on the draft vision?**

Long Text

**Q9 Do you have any comments on Priority 1 - Ki uta ki tai From the hills to the sea?**

Long Text

Fantastic priority - revegetation of reserves using native planting is a great fire management strategy too

**Q10 Do you have any comments on Priority 2 - Response to intensification?**

Long Text

I really like this one: Advocate for more greenspace and trees to be planted on Council land, including along streets  
With further residential intensification key infrastructure like wastewater and road planning need to be able to handle to increased load ahead of time

**Q11 Do you have any comments on Priority 3 - Transport choices?**

Long Text

This is all great, I particularly like improved bus shelters. It breaks my heart seeing our Kaumātua out in all weathers on a basic plank seat without even a backrest waiting for the bus.

**Q12 Do you have any comments on Priority 4 - Parks and recreational amenities?**

Long Text

Sounds good

**Q13 Do you have any comments on Priority 5 - Activation of Ōmōkihi and surrounds?**

Long Text

Conflict of interest - Library staff

**Q14 Do you have any comments on Priority 6 - Community resilience?**

Long Text

Most of these steps sound like asking communities to most of the work (other than step 7). I'm interested in seeing what the community board can do to actively help mitigate the risks of extreme weather events.

**Q15 Overall, do you support the six priorities proposed? If not, what would you change?**

Long Text

I support 1-5 but I feel like 6 is being made the communities responsibility rather than the boards/councils.

**Q2 Name of organisation/business**

Short Text

**Q4 Full name**

Short Text

Anna Gruczynska

**Q8 Do you have any comments on the draft vision?**

Long Text

I support the Board's mission "To be productive advocates for the local community".

I think "working to create [...]" suggests that the Board area is not already any of these things, which I disagree with - we already are a vibrant, great place to live, work and play, so at best the Board can work to maintain ...or continue enhancing ..., but not work to create it, that ship has sailed...

I strongly dislike the use of "distinguished", it sounds pompous and it's anyone's guess what it actually means. It may be the personal ambition of Board members to preside over a distinguished area, but I suspect that for residents having an area which is safe, where key infrastructure and services work well, and where they feel their elected representatives actually represent them will rank higher than living in a distinguished area, whatever that means.

**Q9 Do you have any comments on Priority 1 - Ki uta ki tai From the hills to the sea?**

Long Text

No

**Q10 Do you have any comments on Priority 2 - Response to intensification?**

Long Text

I think the Board should keep it simple and advocate for what people are actually crying out for - housing developments with adequate car parking off-street and on-street, adequate drainage, adequate lighting, adequate tree canopy, etc.

A "living street" in Spreydon sounds like an expensive pet project for the benefit of ribbon cutting, media release and councilors' social media, but of limited benefit to residents, especially those in the neighbouring streets to which traffic will divert to avoid the impassable "living street". I'd be happy to see this gone from the Plan, and focus on making all streets more livable, rather than one single street "living".

**Q11 Do you have any comments on Priority 3 - Transport choices?**

Long Text

I think the Board needs to be reminded that for many residents walking, cycling and scarce, slow and meandering public transport are not viable options due to health, age, work commitments etc. and that therefore some transport choices must remain for those who rely on a car for their daily needs. I expect the Board to stand up for all residents, including those who have no other choice but to move around by car. Disappointingly, I do not see their needs represented in this Plan.

**Q12 Do you have any comments on Priority 4 - Parks and recreational amenities?**

Long Text

It sounds surprisingly sensible.

**Q13 Do you have any comments on Priority 5 - Activation of Ōmōkihi and surrounds?**

Long Text

A basketball court in close proximity of the library sounds crazy unless the library is totally soundproof.

**Q14 Do you have any comments on Priority 6 - Community resilience?**

Long Text

No

**Q15 Overall, do you support the six priorities proposed? If not, what would you change?**

Long Text

I'd like to see an overarching Board priority to have regard for the cost of any initiatives proposed in pursuit of these priorities, and the financial burden this places on ratepayers. The Board's #1 priority should be "Prudent financial management that results in annual rate increases for residents at or below the CPI".

**Q2 Name of organisation/business**

Short Text

**Q4 Full name**

Short Text

martin ward

**Q8 Do you have any comments on the draft vision?**

Long Text

Is there room in the vision for some specifics such as addressing climate generated changes to the way we live

**Q9 Do you have any comments on Priority 1 - Ki uta ki tai From the hills to the sea?**

Long Text

This is great, but ..... I believe the Board needs to take a stronger role in some of these important areas and rather than just 'support' it need to 'drive', in particular the Port Hills Plan, and

I am not sure "busy Volunteers" want their work load reduced, but I do know they appreciate more practical on-the-ground support, and

Rather than the rather nebulous "support sediment management, I believe the Board can be actively supporting improvements to historic stormwater discharge arrangements in some hillside suburbs.

**Q10 Do you have any comments on Priority 2 - Response to intensification?**

Long Text

No, they're good

**Q11 Do you have any comments on Priority 3 - Transport choices?**

Long Text

What about delivering the Main Road Master Plan, Redcliffs section

**Q12 Do you have any comments on Priority 4 - Parks and recreational amenities?**

Long Text

Please add very very popular, Barnett Park Cave circuit track to the list under #3

**Q13 Do you have any comments on Priority 5 - Activation of Ōmōkihi and surrounds?**

Long Text

**Q14 Do you have any comments on Priority 6 - Community resilience?**

Long Text

**Q15 Overall, do you support the six priorities proposed? If not, what would you change?**

Long Text

I believe Community Resilience is ranked too low

**Q2 Name of organisation/business**

Short Text

**Q4 Full name**

Short Text

Victoria Wilson

**Q8 Do you have any comments on the draft vision?**

Long Text

I would love to see a more developed play area next to the new south library. Somewhere that is a space for all ages of kids including teenagers.

**Q9 Do you have any comments on Priority 1 - Ki uta ki tai From the hills to the sea?**

Long Text

**Q10 Do you have any comments on Priority 2 - Response to intensification?**

Long Text

**Q11 Do you have any comments on Priority 3 - Transport choices?**

Long Text

**Q12 Do you have any comments on Priority 4 - Parks and recreational amenities?**

Long Text

**Q13 Do you have any comments on Priority 5 - Activation of Ōmōkihi and surrounds?**

Long Text

A play area for all ages near hunter terrace would be a real asset to our community. We need safe places for our young people to hang out and be engaged in fun healthy activities.

**Q14 Do you have any comments on Priority 6 - Community resilience?**

Long Text

**Q15 Overall, do you support the six priorities proposed? If not, what would you change?**

Long Text

**Q2 Name of organisation/business**

Short Text

**Q4 Full name**

Short Text

Kate Stallworthy

**Q8 Do you have any comments on the draft vision?**

Long Text

Love it

**Q9 Do you have any comments on Priority 1 - Ki uta ki tai From the hills to the sea?**

Long Text

This is so important and I support these priorities

**Q10 Do you have any comments on Priority 2 - Response to intensification?**

Long Text

Great. Particularly love the idea to improve connections around Waltham pool

**Q11 Do you have any comments on Priority 3 - Transport choices?**

Long Text

**Q12 Do you have any comments on Priority 4 - Parks and recreational amenities?**

Long Text

**Q13 Do you have any comments on Priority 5 - Activation of Ōmōkihi and surrounds?**

Long Text

Yes yes yes! Omokihi and the surrounding area is such an important thing for the community. Talk about improving the pump track and addition of the basketball court has been around for years and it would be such a shame if it didn't go ahead. Please make this project happen

**Q14 Do you have any comments on Priority 6 - Community resilience?**

Long Text

Obviously given the increase in rainfall recently and the likelihood that this will continue to increase, this is incredibly important

**Q15 Overall, do you support the six priorities proposed? If not, what would you change?**

Long Text

Yes I do support them

**Q2 Name of organisation/business**

Short Text

**Q4 Full name**

Short Text

Ben Zmijewski

**Q8 Do you have any comments on the draft vision?**

Long Text

**Q9 Do you have any comments on Priority 1 - Ki uta ki tai From the hills to the sea?**

Long Text

Think a little bit more holistically and advocate for less urban sprawl which directly impacts sediment load

**Q10 Do you have any comments on Priority 2 - Response to intensification?**

Long Text

More dedicated bus lanes, safe cycleways for commuters should be a high priority to respond to the transport impacts of intensification

**Q11 Do you have any comments on Priority 3 - Transport choices?**

Long Text

Ferry Road should be improved as a core commuter route for cycling

**Q12 Do you have any comments on Priority 4 - Parks and recreational amenities?**

Long Text

Provide a dedicated pedestrian link through red zone? land between Nayland Street and Wiggins Street

**Q13 Do you have any comments on Priority 5 - Activation of Ōmōkihi and surrounds?**

Long Text

**Q14 Do you have any comments on Priority 6 - Community resilience?**

Long Text

**Q15 Overall, do you support the six priorities proposed? If not, what would you change?**

Long Text

**Q2 Name of organisation/business**

Short Text

**Q4 Full name**

Short Text

Lucy Allen-King

**Q8 Do you have any comments on the draft vision?**

Long Text

Be great to utilise the space by the new library with a fit for purpose bike track, maybe some basketball courts, a youth area where young people and little can connect

**Q9 Do you have any comments on Priority 1 - Ki uta ki tai From the hills to the sea?**

Long Text

**Q10 Do you have any comments on Priority 2 - Response to intensification?**

Long Text

**Q11 Do you have any comments on Priority 3 - Transport choices?**

Long Text

**Q12 Do you have any comments on Priority 4 - Parks and recreational amenities?**

Long Text

Youth play space near the new library

**Q13 Do you have any comments on Priority 5 - Activation of Ōmōkihi and surrounds?**

Long Text

Yes this would be great - such a special part of town and would be good to provide more options for young people to connect

**Q14 Do you have any comments on Priority 6 - Community resilience?**

Long Text

**Q15 Overall, do you support the six priorities proposed? If not, what would you change?**

Long Text

**Q2 Name of organisation/business**

Short Text

**Q4 Full name**

Short Text

Jessica Barret

**Q8 Do you have any comments on the draft vision?**

Long Text

Suggest that it lacks a focus on diversity/ inclusion. there are parts of the board area that are lower income.

**Q9 Do you have any comments on Priority 1 - Ki uta ki tai From the hills to the sea?**

Long Text

flood mitigations and adaptation to climate change should be a priority for the board potentially small local projects that interface with the river could be included in the board plan?

**Q10 Do you have any comments on Priority 2 - Response to intensification?**

Long Text

Advocacy should also be undertaken for tree planting in commercial/ residential areas of Sydenham- this area has a very low tree canopy cover. the board also needs to ensure the local area plan for Sydenham is delivered- these investments have not been delivered in 10 years while other parts of the city are being prioritised.

**Q11 Do you have any comments on Priority 3 - Transport choices?**

Long Text

Suggest that further action is required to deal with significant congestion on Colombo st possible actions include:

- Create no stop zones/ clearways along key intersections on Colombo Street to reduce congestion, enable residents to join with through traffic flows and provide greater visibility for cyclists.
- Invest in upgrading Gasson St cycleway to provide a safe connection north to the Stadium.
- Review parking policy and provision in Sydenham to ensure a balance between the needs of residents, visitors and business including investigating on street parking permits to deter people driving into Sydenham and then walking into the city to avoid parking fees (leaving no parks for residents, business or visitors).

**Q12 Do you have any comments on Priority 4 - Parks and recreational amenities?**

Long Text

**Q13 Do you have any comments on Priority 5 - Activation of Ōmōkihi and surrounds?**

Long Text

**Q14 Do you have any comments on Priority 6 - Community resilience?**

Long Text

Investigate/ pilot partnerships with large scale industrial buildings and apartments in the mixed-use Sydenham area to increase resilience and lower energy costs.

**Q15 Overall, do you support the six priorities proposed? If not, what would you change?**

Long Text

Yes these are generally the right priorities.

I would suggest the board could take a stronger leadership role in unlocking economic opportunity and growth in Sydenham's mixed use commercial and industrial area. The private market is investing but Council is lagging behind with significant elements of the masterplan not progressed. Intensification is happening here without adequate co-investment from the Council.